GFC ACADEMIC STANDARDS COMMITTEE



MOTION AND FINAL DOCUMENT SUMMARY

The following Motions and Documents were considered by the GFC Academic Standards Committee at its April 19, 2012 meeting:

Agenda Title: Faculty of Nursing Proposed Changes to Section 12.7 (Admission and Readmission Deadlines) of the University Calendar

APPROVED MOTION: THAT the GFC Academic Standards Committee approve, under delegated authority from General Faculties Council, proposed changes to Section 12.7 (Admission and Readmission Deadlines) of the University Calendar, as submitted by the Faculty of Nursing and as set out in Attachment 4A of the documentation, to be effective in 2013-2014.

Agenda Title: Office of the Registrar Course Approvals and Denials for March, 2012

APPROVED MOTION: THAT the GFC Academic Standards Committee approve, under delegated authority from GFC, the proposal for approval of transfer credit, as submitted by the Office of the Registrar and as set forth in Attachment 4B, to take effect upon final approval.

Agenda Title: Office of the Registrar – University Calendar Revisions to Admissions Chart 6 – International Baccalaureate (IB) Courses Approved for Advanced Standing

APPROVED MOTION: THAT the GFC Academic Standards Committee approve, under delegated authority from General Faculties Council, revisions to University Calendar Admissions Chart 6 — International Baccalaureate (IB) Courses Approved for Advanced Standing, as submitted by the Office of the Registrar and as set for in Attachment 4C, to take effect upon approval and for publication in the 2013-2014 University Calendar.

Final Item: 4A-4C

Agenda Title: Proposed Assessment and Grading Policy Suite (in UAPPOL) and Proposed Revisions to University Calendar Section 23 (Academic Regulations) and Corresponding Rescission of Sections 52 (Examinations) and 61 (Grading System) of the GFC Policy Manual

APPROVED MOTION: THAT the GFC Academic Standards Committee recommend to the GFC Executive Committee the proposed Assessment and Grading Policy Suite (in UAPPOL) and proposed revisions to University Calendar Section 23 (Academic Regulations), as provided by the Office of the Provost and Vice-President (Academic) and as set forth in Attachments 1 (as amended), 2, 3, 4, 5 (as amended), and 6 (as amended), and the corresponding rescission of GFC Policy Manual Section 52 (Examinations) and Section 61 (Grading System), all to be effective for the Fall Term, 2012.

Final Recommended Amended Item: 5



OUTLINE OF ISSUE

- 4A. Faculty of Nursing Proposed Changes to Section 12.7 (Admission and Readmission Deadlines) of the *University Calendar*
- 4B. Office of the Registrar Course Approvals and Denials for March, 2012

4C. Office of the Registrar – *University Calendar* Revisions to Admissions Chart 6 – International Baccalaureate (IB) Courses Approved for Advanced Standing

ı	1	Δ	n	n
ı	ш	e	п	П

ILCIII	
Action Requested	
Proposed by	Kaysi Kushner, Associate Dean (Undergraduate Programs), Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry; Ada Schmude, Associate Registrar and Director of Records, Office of the
	Registrar; Lihong Yang, Acting Associate Registrar and Director of Enrolment Management, Office of the Registrar; and Deborah Gougeon, Assistant Registrar (National Admissions), Office of the Registrar
Presenter	Bill Connor, Vice-Provost (Academic Programs and Instruction) and Chair, GFC Academic Standards Committee
Subject	N/A

Details

Responsibility	Provost and Vice-President (Academic)			
The Purpose of the Proposal is	See individual items for detail on proposed changes submitted by			
(please be specific)	Faculties and the Office of the Registrar.			
The Impact of the Proposal is	See 'Purpose'.			
Replaces/Revises (eg, policies,	Various sections of the <i>University Calendar</i> , see individual items for			
resolutions)	specific affected Calendar sections.			
Timeline/Implementation Date	Item 4A: To take effect in 2013-2014.			
	Item 4B: To take effect upon approval.			
	Item 4C: To take effect upon approval.			
Estimated Cost	N/A			
Sources of Funding	N/A			
Notes	N/A			

Alignment/Compliance

Alignment with Guiding Documents	Dare to Discover Values: to provide an intellectually superior educational environment; integrity, fairness, and principles of ethical conduct built on the foundation of academic freedom, open inquiry, and the pursuit of truth
Compliance with Legislation, Policy and/or Procedure Relevant to the Proposal (please <u>quote</u> legislation and include identifying section numbers)	1. Post-Secondary Learning Act (PSLA) : The <i>PSLA</i> gives GFC responsibility, subject to the authority of the Board of Governors, over academic affairs. Further, the <i>PSLA</i> gives the Board of Governors authority over certain admission requirements and rules respecting enrolment. The Board has delegated its authority over admissions requirements and rules respecting enrolment to GFC and the GFC ASC (Academic Standards Committee). (Sections 26(1), 60(1)(c) and (d)). 2. PSLA : The <i>PSLA</i> gives Faculty Councils power to "provide for the



admission of students to the faculty" (29(1)(c)).

3. **UAPPOL Admissions Policy**: "Admission to the University of Alberta is based on documented academic criteria established by individual Faculties and approved by GFC. This criteria may be defined in areas such as subject requirements, minimum entrance averages, and language proficiency requirements. In addition to academic requirements for admission, GFC authorizes each Faculty to establish such other reasonable criteria for admission of applicants as the Faculty may consider appropriate to its programs of study, subject to the approval of GFC (e.g. interview, audition, portfolio, etc.)

The admission requirements for any Faculty will be those approved by GFC as set forth in the current edition of the *University Calendar*. In addition to the admission requirements, selection criteria for quota programs, where they exist, will also be published in the current edition of the *University Calendar*.

The responsibility for admission decisions will be vested in the Faculty Admission Committees or in the Deans of the respective Faculties, as the councils of such Faculties will determine."

4. UAPPOL Admissions Procedure:

"PROCEDURE

1. EFFECTIVE DATE OF CHANGES TO ADMISSION REGULATIONS

Following approval by GFC:

a. Where changes to admission regulations may disadvantage students in the current admission cycle, normally implementation will be effective after the change has been published in the *University Calendar* for one full year (i.e., effective the second year that the information is published in the *University Calendar*).

For example, a change approved in May 2005 would be first published in the 2006-2007 *University Calendar* in March 2006. Therefore the statement cannot come into effect until September 2007 (affecting applicants who apply for the September 2007 term beginning July 2006)."

- b. Where changes to admission regulations are deemed by the approving body to be 'advantageous to students', normally the date of implementation will be effective immediately or at the next available intake for the admitting Faculty."
- 5. GFC Academic Standards Committee (ASC) Terms of Reference: '[...]
 - 3. Mandate of the Committee



The ASC is responsible for making recommendations and/or for providing advice to GFC, its Executive Committee, and/or the GFC Academic Planning Committee (APC) on the matters set out below, which include such areas as admissions and transfer, including admission and transfer to Faculties, admission of Open Studies students, academic standing policies and general university admission policies, and all institutional marking and grading policies and/or procedures. (GFC 29 SEP 2003) (GFC 31 MAY 2005)"

The Office of the Provost and Vice-President (Academic) has determined that the proposed changes are **editorial** in nature. ASC's terms of reference provide that "the term '**routine and/or editorial**' refers to proposals which do not involve or affect other Faculties or units; do not form part of a proposal for a new program; and do not involve alteration of an existing quota or establishment of a new quota. Editorial or routine changes include any and all changes to the wording of an admissions or academic standing policy" (3.A.i).

Further, "ASC acts for GFC in approving routine and/or editorial changes to both admissions/transfer policies and academic standing regulations" (Section 3.B.ii).

- 6. **GFC ASC Terms of Reference (***Mandate***)**: GFC ASC's delegated authority from GFC extends to the following:
 - "ii. ASC acts for GFC in approving routine and/or editorial changes to both admission/transfer policies and academic standing regulations, and acts for GFC in approving all proposals for a) change to examination regulations, b) change to existing International Baccalaureate (IB) and Advanced Placement (AP) policies and procedures and c) change to the *University Calendar* Section on Missed Term Work (under the Section entitled *Evaluation Procedures and Grading System.* (3.B.ii.)

[...]

- a. ASC approves, for inclusion in the Alberta Transfer Guide, courses for transfer credit to the University of Alberta which are offered by non-University institutions in Alberta. Approval will be based upon an assessment of course content and level of instructor qualifications.
- b. ASC denies courses for transfer credit to the University of Alberta which are offered by non-University institutions in Alberta.
- c. ASC monitors the entries in the Alberta Transfer Guide relevant to the University of Alberta.
- d. ASC rescinds, if necessary, the entries in the Alberta Transfer Guide relevant to the University of Alberta." (3.D.i-iv.)
- 7. **UAPPOL Transfer Credit Articulation Procedure (Overview and Procedure)**: "The University of Alberta will accept for transfer credit the courses recommended by Faculties and approved by ASC for inclusion in the Alberta Transfer guide, to the extent that the courses fit the degree program that the student wishes to enter. Credit for such courses will be considered in a credit-no credit basis only and will not be included in the University grade point average calculation on the University transcript.



GFC Academic Standards Committee For the Meeting of April 19, 2012 FINAL Items No. 4A - 4C

Faculties may have other requirementsTransfer credit is assessed on
an individual course-by-course basis for by a block transfer agreement."

Routing (Include meeting dates)

Consultative Route	Vice-Provost (Academic Programs and Instruction) and Chair, GFC
(parties who have seen the	Academic Standards Committee; Associate Registrar and Director of
proposal and in what capacity)	Records (April 10, 2012)
Approval Route (Governance)	Relevant (Individual) Faculty Councils – for recommendation to GFC
(including meeting dates)	ASC;
	GFC Academic Standards Committee (April 19, 2012) - for final
	approval
Final Approver	GFC Academic Standards Committee

Attachments:

- 1. Item 4A Attachment 1 (page 1) Faculty of Nursing
- Item 4B Attachment 1 (pages 1 4) Office of the Registrar
 Item 4C Attachment 1 (pages 1 4) Office of the Registrar



Faculty of Nursing For Implementation in 2013-2014

12.7 Admission and Readmission Deadlines

Motion: THAT the GFC Academic Standards Committee approve, under delegated authority from General Faculties Council, proposed changes to Section 12.7 (Admission and Readmission Deadlines) of the *University Calendar*, as submitted by the Faculty of Nursing and as set out in Attachment 4A of the documentation, to be effective in 2013-2014.

CURRENT						PROPOSE	ED		
Nursing					Nursing				
	Admission		Readmission			Admission		Readmission	
	Application	Documents	Application	Documents		Application	Documents	Application	Documents
BScN (C	Collaborative	and BScN E	Bilingual Prog	ram	BScN (C	Collaborative	and BScN I	Bilingual Prog	ram
Fall Term	February 1	External Transfer - June 15	February 1	June 15	Fall Term	May 1	External Transfer - June 15	May 1	June 15
		High School - August 1					High School - August 1		
Winter Term	No admission		No admission		Winter Term	No admission		No admission	
Spring/ Summer	No admission		Previous students - March 1	March 1	Spring/ Summer	No admission		Previous students - March 1	March 1
			Contact Faculty applying.	office prior to				Contact Faculty applying.	office prior to
(No cha	nges until)	•	, ,, ,		(No cha	nges until)	•	1	
RPN to	BScN				RPN to	BScN			
Fall Term	February 1	June 15	February 1	February 1	Fall Term	May 1	June 15	May 1	June 15
Winter Term	No admission		Previous students - November 15	November 15	Winter Term	No admission		Previous students - November 15	November 15
Spring/ Summer	No admission		Previous students - March 1	March 1	Spring/ Summer	No admission		Previous students - March 1	March 1
			Contact Faculty applying.	office prior to				Contact Faculty applying.	office prior to
BScN A	fter Degree				BScN A	fter Degree			
Fall Term	February 1	June 30	February 1	June 30	Fall Term		June 30	May 1	June 30
Winter Term	September 15 (Edmonton site only)	October 15	September 15 (Edmonton site only)	October 15	Winter Term	September 15 (Edmonton site only)	October 15	September 15 (Edmonton site only)	October 15
Spring/ Summer	No admission		Previous students - March 1	March 1	Spring/ Summer	No admission		Previous students - March 1	March 1
			Contact Faculty applying.	office prior to				Contact Faculty applying.	office prior to

Agenda Item: Office of the Registrar Course Approvals and Denials for April , 2012

Motion: THAT the GFC Academic Standards Committee approve, under delegated authority from GFC, the proposal for approval of transfer credit, as submitted by the Office of the Registrar and as set forth in Attachment 4B, to take effect upon final approval.

April 5, 2012

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA: OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR Proposals Recommended for APPROVAL of Transfer Credit at the Academic Standards Committee Meeting on April 19, 2012

Sending Institution and Proposal ID#	Sending Institution Courses	Uof A Courses	Transfer Agreement Footnotes	Comments
AMBROSE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE				
129555	BUS 220 (3)	AUMGT 2XX (3)		Ambrose's BUS 220 (3) was previously approved for FIN 3xx (3). The new agreement will be: BUS 220 = FIN 3xx (3) OR AUMGT 2xx (3).
129691	BUS 310 (3)	AUMGT 3XX (3)		Ambrose's BUS 310 (3) was previously approved for SMO 3xx (3). The new agreement will be: BUS 310 = SMO 3xx (3) OR AUMGT 3xx (3).
129700	BUS 370 (3)	AUMGT 2XX (3)		Ambrose's BUS 370 (3) was previously approved for MIS 1xx (3). The new agreement will be: BUS 370 = MIS 1xx (3) OR AUMGT 2xx (3).
130445	BUS 371 (3)	OM 3XX (3)		
130387	BUS 390 (3)	SMO 431 (3)		
129112	REL 260 (3)	CHRTP 2XX (3)		
ATHABASCA UNIVERSITY				
129506	LGST 230 (3)	Option 1XX (3) OR AUCRI 1XX (3)	Student will not also receive credit for INT D 394 at UofA.	
130013	WGST 422 (3)	W ST 3XX (3)		
GRANDE PRAIRIE REGIONAL COLLEGE				
130137	EG 1050 (3.8)	ENCMP 100 (3.8)		
129426	PE 2460 (3)	AUPED 2XX (3)		GPRC's PE 2460 (3) was previously approved for PEDS 246 (3). The new agreement will be: PE 2460 (3) = PEDS 246 (3) OR AUPED 2xx (3).

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA: OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR Proposals Recommended for APPROVAL of Transfer Credit at the Academic Standards Committee Meeting on April 19, 2012

Sending Institution and Proposal ID#	Sending Institution Courses	Uof A Courses	Transfer Agreement Footnotes	Comments
GRANT MACEWAN UNIVERSITY				
129890	COMP 103 (3)	CLIT 1XX (3)		
LAKELAND COLLEGE				
130341	NS 110 (3)	NS 110 (3)		
130334	NS 111 (3)	NS 111 (3)		
MEDICINE HAT COLLEGE				
130204	PHIL 399 (3)	PHIL 2XX (3)	Student must submit course outline and consult with Department Advisor prior to registration in senior philosophy courses at Uof A.	
MOUNT ROYAL UNIVERSITY				
129762	ENTR 2237 (3)	AUMGT 1XX (3)		MRU's ENTR 2237 (3) was previously approved for SMO 311 (3). The new agreement will be: ENTR 2237 (3) = SMO 311 (3) OR AUMGT 1xx (3).
129953	HIST 2204 (3)	HIST 207 (3)		
129959	HIST 2206 (3)	CLASS 2XX (3)	Student will not also receive credit for CLASS 282 or 283 at Uof A.	
129956	HIST 3201 (3)	HIST 3XX (3)		
129946	HIST 4731 (3)	HIST 4XX (3)	Student will not also receive credit for HIST 450 at UofA on the same topic.	

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA: OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR Proposals Recommended for APPROVAL of Transfer Credit at the Academic Standards Committee Meeting on April 19, 2012

Sending Institution and Proposal ID#	Sending Institution Courses	UofA Courses	Transfer Agreement Footnotes	Comments
MOUNT ROYAL UNIVERSITY				
129888	INST 2730 (3)	HIST 2XX (3)		
129605	LAST 2202 (3)	LA ST 205 (3)		
129883	LING 2203 (3)	LING 205 (3)		
129882	PSY C 3348 (3)	AUPSY 3XX [Arts] (3)	Student will not also receive credit for AUPSY 442 at Uof A.	MRU's PSYC 3348 (3) was previously approved for PSYCO 341 (3). The new agreement will be: PSYC 3348 (3) = PSYCO 341 (3) OR AUPSY 3xx [Arts] (3). Student will not also receive credit for AUPSY 442 at UofA.
RED DEER COLLEGE				
130298	ENGL 395 (3)	ENGL 2XX (3)	Student will not also receive credit for ENGL 338 or 339 at UofA.	
130292	POLI 201 (3)	POL S 101 (3)		
130295	POLI 283 (3)	POL S 1XX (3)		
ST MARY'S UNIVERSITY COLLEGE				
128786	SOCI 343 (3)	AUSOC 262 (3)		St Mary's SOCI 343 (3) was previously approved for SOC 2xx (3). Student will not also receive credit for SOC 346 at UofA. The new agreement will be: SOCI 343 (3) = SOC 2xx (3) OR AUSOC 262 (3). Student will not also receive credit for SOC 346 at UofA.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA: OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR

Summary of Transfer Credit Proposals DENIED
Circulated for Information Only at the Academic Standards Committee Meeting on April 19, 2012

Proposal ID # and Sending Institution	Sending Institution Course	U of A Course Requested	Denial Date	Reason for Denial
AMBROSE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE				
130059	ECO 100 (3)	ECON 101 (3)	March 23, 2012	The minimum instructor qualification required for 100 or 200 level transfer credit is Master's in specific discipline, in this case, an MA in Economics.
130397	ECO 101 (3)	ECON 102 (3)	March 26, 2012	The minimum required instructor qualification is an MA in Economics. An MBA is not equivalent to an MA in Economics.



OUTLINE OF ISSUE

Agenda Title: Office of the Registrar – *University Calendar* Revisions to Admissions Chart 6 – International Baccalaureate (IB) Courses Approved for Advanced Standing

Motion: THAT the GFC Academic Standards Committee approve, under delegated authority from General Faculties Council, revisions to *University Calendar* Admissions Chart 6 – International Baccalaureate (IB) Courses Approved for Advanced Standing, as submitted by the Office of the Registrar and as set for in Attachment 4C, to take effect upon approval and for publication in the 2013-2014 *University Calendar*.

Item

Action Requested				
Proposed by	Office of the Registrar			
Presenters	Lihong Yang, Acting Associate Registrar and Director of Enrolment Management, Office of the Registrar; Deborah Gougeon, Assistant Registrar (National Admissions), Office of the Registrar			
Subject	University Calendar Admissions Chart 6 – International Baccalaureate (IB) Courses Approved for Advanced Standing			

Details

Responsibility	Provost and Vice-President (Academic)
The Purpose of the Proposal is (please be specific)	To approve the addition of four new IB courses in Admissions Chart 6 (IB Courses Approved for Advanced Standing) and revisions to additional Augustana Faculty equivalents in Admissions Chart 6 for clarity. Courses have been assessed for University of Alberta equivalents including Augustana Faculty equivalents.
The Impact of the Proposal is	See 'Purpose'.
Replaces/Revises (eg, policies, resolutions)	University Calendar Admissions Chart 6.
Timeline/Implementation Date	To take effect upon approval (early implementation) and for publication in the 2013-2014 <i>University Calendar</i> .
Estimated Cost	N/A
Sources of Funding	N/A
Notes	N/A

Alignment/Compliance

Alignment with Guiding	Dare to Discover Values: to provide an intellectually superior
Documents	educational environment; integrity, fairness, and principles of ethical
	conduct built on the foundation of academic freedom, open inquiry, and
	the pursuit of truth
Compliance with Legislation,	1. Post-Secondary Learning Act (PSLA): The PSLA gives GFC
Policy and/or Procedure	responsibility, subject to the approval of the Board of Governors, over
Relevant to the Proposal	academic affairs. Further, the PSLA gives the Board of Governors
(please <u>quote</u> legislation and	authority over certain admission requirements and rules respecting
include identifying section	enrolment. The Board has delegated its authority over admissions
numbers)	requirements and rules respecting enrolment to GFC and the GFC ASC.
	(Sections 26(1), 60(1)(c) and (d)).
	2. GFC Academic Standards Committee (ASC) Terms of Reference



GFC Academic Standards Committee For the Meeting of April 19, 2012 Item No. 4C

(Mandate) allow for GFC ASC to act for GFC in approving all proposals for changes to International Baccalaureate (IB) policies and procedures.
(Section 3.B.ii).

Routing (Include meeting dates)

Consultative Route	Faculty of Arts;
(parties who have seen the	Faculty of Science;
proposal and in what capacity)	Augustana Faculty
Approval Route (Governance)	GFC Academic Standards Committee (March 16, 2012) - for final
(including meeting dates)	approval
Final Approver	GFC Academic Standards Committee

Attachments:

 Attachment 1 (pages 1 - 2) – Proposed Changes for the 2013-2014 Calendar (Admission Chart 6 – International Baccalaureate (IB) Courses Approved for Advanced Standing)

Prepared by: Carrie Holstead, Policy Development and Issues Advisor, Office of the Registrar, carrie.holstead@ualberta.ca

Proposed Changes for the 2013-14 University Calendar

Admissions Chart 6 IB Courses Approved for Advanced Standing

International Baccalaureate Courses	University of Alberta Equivalents Augustana Faculty Equivalent (Camrose)	
Biology (HL)	BIOL 107 (*3) AUBIO 130 (*3)	
Chemistry (HL)	CHEM 101 (*3) [or CHEM 103 (*4.3) for Engineering only]	AUCHE 110 (*3)
Chemistry (SL)	Students may apply to write a credit by special assessment examination in CHEM 101 (*3) [or CHEM 103 (*4.3) for Engineering only]	
Computing Science (HL or SL) taken prior to 2006	CMPUT 101 (*3)	AUCSC 110 (*3)
Computing Science (HL) taken 2006 onwards	CMPUT 114 (*3) and 115 (*3)	AUCSC 110 (*3) and 120 (*3)
Computing Science (SL) taken 2006 onwards	CMPUT 114 (*3)	AUCSC 110 (*3)
Dance (HL)	DANCE 100-level (*3)	AUPED 100-level (*3)
Economics (HL)	ECON 101 (*3) and 102 (*3) (not to take ECON 204)	AUECO 101 (*3) and 102 (*3)
Film (HL)	FS 100-level (*3)	AU Humanities 100-level (*3)
English (HL)	ENGL 100-level (*6) [or ENGL 100-level (*3) and 100-level complementary studies elective (*3) for Engineering only]	AUENG 100-level (*6)
International Baccalaureate Courses	University of Alberta Equivalents	Augustana Faculty Equivalents (Camrose)
French A or B (HL)	FREN 100-level (*3) and FREN 211 (*3) (not to take FREN 111 or 112)	AUFRE 102 (*3) and 201 (*3) (not to take AUFRE 101)
French A or B (SL)	FREN 100-level (*6) (not to take FREN 111 or 112)	AUFRE 101 (*3) and 102 (*3)
Geography (HL or SL)	HGP 100 (*3)	AUGEO 100-level [Arts] (*3)
German A or B (HL)	GERM 100-level (*3) and GERM 211 AUGER 102 (*3) and 201 (*3) (*3) (not to take GERM 111 or 112) take AUGER 101)	
German A or B (SL)	GERM 100-level (*6) (not to take GERM 111 or 112)	AUGER 101 (*3) and 102 (*3)
Greek (HL)	GREEK 101 (*3) and 102 (*3)	AUGRE 101 (*3) and 102 (*3)
History (HL) (See Note 2)	HIST 100-level (*3) (not to take HIST 112 or 113)	AUHIS 104 (*3)
Islamic History (HL)	HIST 100-level (*3) (not to take HIST 117)	AUHIS 100-level (*3)
Italian A (HL)	Advanced Placement	
Japanese AB	JAPAN 101 (*3) and 102 (*3)	AULAN 100-level (*6) AU Language 100-level (*6)

Item 4C Attachment 1

Japanese B (HL or SL)	JAPAN 101 (*3) and 102 (*3) and Advanced Placement	AULAN 100-level (*6) AU Language 100-level (*6)
Latin (HL)	LATIN 101 (*3) and 102 (*3) AULAT 101 (*3) and 102 (*3)	
Mathematics (HL)	MATH 114 (*3) [or MATH 100 (*4) for Engineering only]. Student may also apply to write a credit by special assessment examination in MATH 115 (*3) [or MATH 101 (*3.5) for Engineering only].	AUMAT 111 (*3)
Further Mathematics (SL)	MATH 114 (*3) and 115 (*3) [or MATH 100 (*4) and MATH 101 (*3.5) for Engineering only].	AUMAT 111 (*3) and 112 (*3)
Mathematics (SL)	Student may apply to write a credit by special assessment examination in MATH 114 (*3) or MATH 100 (*4) for Engineering only].	
Music (HL)	MUSIC 102 (*3) and 100-level (*3) (not to take MUSIC 101)	AUMUS 170 (*3) and AUMUS 100-level (*3)
Philosophy (HL)	PHIL 100-level (*3) (not to take PHIL 101 or 102)	AUPHI 100-level (*3)
Physics (HL)	PHYS 124 (*3) and 126 (*3)	AUPHY 100-level (*6)
Physics (SL)	PHYS 124 (*3)	AUPHY 100-level (*3)
Psychology (HL)	PSYCO 104 (*3) and 105 (*3)	AUPSY 101 (*3) and 102 (*3)
Psychology (SL)	PSYCO 104 (*3)	AUPSY 101 (3)
Social Cultural Anthropology (HL)	ANTHR 100-level (*3)	AUSSC 100-level (*3)
Spanish A (HL)	Advanced Placement	Advanced Placement
Sports, Exercise and Health Science (SL)	PEDS 100-level (*3)	AUPED 100-level (*3)
Theatre Arts (HL)	DRAMA 101 (*3)	AUDRA 101 (*3)
World Religions (SL)	RELIG 100-level (*3)	AUREL 100-level (*3)
Visual Arts (HL)	ART 100-level (*3) and ART 134 (*3)	AUART 111 (*3) and 113 (*3)
Successful completion of all components of the IB Diploma program	100-level Open Elective (*3)	AU 100-level Open Elective (*3)



FINAL Item No. 5

OUTLINE OF ISSUE

Agenda Title: Proposed Assessment and Grading Policy Suite (in UAPPOL) and Proposed Revisions to *University Calendar* Section 23 (Academic Regulations) and Corresponding Rescission of Sections 52 (Examinations) and 61 (Grading System) of the GFC Policy Manual

Motion: THAT the GFC Academic Standards Committee recommend to the GFC Executive Committee the proposed Assessment and Grading Policy Suite (in UAPPOL) and proposed revisions to University Calendar Section 23 (Academic Regulations), as provided by the Office of the Provost and Vice-President (Academic) and as set forth in Attachments 1 (as amended), 2, 3, 4, 5 (as amended), and 6 (as amended), and the corresponding rescission of GFC Policy Manual Section 52 (Examinations) and Section 61 (Grading System), all to be effective for the Fall Term, 2012.

Item

Action Requested	☐ Approval ☐ Recommendation ☐ Discussion/Advice ☐ Information
Proposed by	Provost and Vice-President (Academic)
Presenter	Bill Connor, Vice-Provost (Academic Programs and Instruction)
Subject	Proposed Assessment and Grading Policy and Associated Procedures (in UAPPOL) and Proposed Changes to Section 23 (Academic Regulations) of the <i>University Calendar</i>

Details

Responsibility	Provost and Vice-President (Academic)
The Purpose of the Proposal is (please be specific)	To rescind existing GFC Policy Manual assessment and grading policies and procedures (Sections 52 and 61) and provide, instead, relevant (and revised) assessment and grading policy and procedures in the UAPPOL environment and to revise accordingly current <i>University Calendar</i> assessment and grading regulations, as set out in Section 23 (Academic Regulations).
The Impact of the Proposal is	To eliminate references to assessment and grading in the GFC Policy Manual and to now make the essential information available in the <i>University Calendar</i> and in UAPPOL.
Replaces/Revises (eg, policies, resolutions)	Replaces GFC Policy Manual Section 52 (Examinations) and Section 61 (Grading System) and revises Section 23 (Academic Regulations) of the
	University Calendar.
Timeline/Implementation Date	Fall Term, 2012.
Estimated Cost	N/A
Sources of Funding	N/A
Notes	The drafters of the proposed UAPPOL policy suite and proposed <i>University Calendar</i> revisions before members are: Bill Connor, Vice-Provost (Academic Programs and Instruction); John Law, Vice-Dean, Faculty of Law; and Brenda Leskiw, Senior Associate Dean, Faculty of Science.

Alignment/Compliance

Alignment with Guiding	Dare to Discover and Dare to Deliver
Documents	
Compliance with Legislation,	1. The Post-Secondary Learning Act (PSLA) gives GFC responsibility,
Policy and/or Procedure	subject to the authority of the Board of Governors, over "academic
Relevant to the Proposal	affairs" (Section 26(1)), "timetables for examinations and for lectures and
(please <u>quote</u> legislation and	other instruction" (Section 26(1)(d)), and to "consider and make
include identifying section	decisions on the reports of faculty councils as to the appointment of

GFC ACADEMIC STANDARDS COMMITTEE

For the Meeting of April 19, 2012



FINAL Item No. 5

numbers)

examiners and the conduct and results of examinations in the faculties" (Section 26(1)(e)).

The *PSLA* gives GFC the authority to "exercise any power of a faculty council that the general faculties council considers desirable to exercise" (Section 26(1)(a)) and gives Faculty Councils the authority to "appoint the examiners for examinations in the faculty, conduct the examinations and determine the results for them, ... subject to any conditions or restrictions that are imposed by GFC." (Section 29(1)).

2. GFC Executive Committee Terms of Reference/3. Mandate of the Committee: [...]

"16. Institutional Marking and Grading Policies and/or Procedures To consider advice or recommendation from the GFC ASC on institutional marking and grading policies and/or procedures.

17. Institutional Term Work Policies and/or Procedures

To consider advice or recommendation from the GFC ASC on institutional term work policies and/or procedures."

3. GFC Executive Committee Terms of Reference/3. Mandate of the Committee: [...]

5. Agendas of General Faculties Council

GFC has delegated to the Executive Committee the authority to decide which items are placed on a GFC Agenda, and the order in which those agenda items appear on each GFC agenda.

When ordering items, the GFC Executive Committee will be mindful of any matters that are of particular concern to students during March and April so that the student leaders who bring those items forward are able to address these items at GFC before their terms end. (EXEC 06 NOV 2006)

[...]

With respect to recommendations from other bodies and other GFC committees, however, the role of the Executive Committee shall be to examine and debate the substance of reports or recommendations and to decide if an item is ready to be forwarded to the full governing body. The Executive Committee may decide to refer a proposal back to the originating body, to refer the proposal to another body or individual for study or review, or to take other action in order to ready a proposal for consideration by General Faculties Council. When the GFC Executive Committee forwards a proposal to GFC, it shall make a recommendation that GFC endorse; endorse with suggested amendments; not endorse; or forward the proposal with no comment."

- 4. GFC Academic Standards Committee (ASC) Terms of Reference/3. Mandate of the Committee: "[...]
 - B. Admission and Transfer, Academic Standing, Marking and Grading, Term Work, Examinations, International Baccalaureate



FINAL Item No. 5

(IB), Advanced Placement (AP)

The ASC is responsible for making recommendations and/or for providing advice to GFC, its Executive Committee, and/or the GFC Academic Planning Committee (APC) on the matters set out below, which include such areas as admissions and transfer, including admission and transfer to Faculties, admission of Open Studies students, academic standing policies and general university admission policies, and all institutional marking and grading policies and/or procedures. [...]

vi. ASC provides advice or recommends to the GFC Executive Committee on institutional marking and grading policies and/or procedures. 3

vii. ASC provides advice or recommends to the GFC Executive Committee on institutional term work policies and/or procedures (with the exception of that noted in B. ii). [...]"

5. GFC Academic Planning Committee (APC) Terms of Reference/3. Mandate of the Committee:

"The Academic Planning Committee (APC) is GFC's senior committee dealing with academic, financial and planning issues. As such, it is not only responsible to GFC (or the Board) for the specific matters itemized below, but may also ask to consider or recommend to GFC on any academic issue, including 1) those issues under the purview of other GFC committees[.] [...] [T]he President, Provost and Vice-President (Academic) or other Vice-Presidents may refer any matter to APC for consideration or recommendation to GFC. APC is also responsible to GFC for promoting an optimal learning environment for students and excellence in teaching, research, and graduate studies."

6. Board Learning and Discovery Committee (BLDC) Terms of Reference/3, MANDATE OF THE COMMITTEE:

"Except as provided in paragraph 4 hereof and in the Board's General Committee Terms of Reference, the Committee shall, in accordance with the Committee's responsibilities with powers granted under the *Post-Secondary Learning Act*, monitor, evaluate, advise and make decisions on behalf of the Board with respect to matters concerning the teaching and research affairs of the University, including proposals coming from the administration and from General Faculties Council (the "GFC"), and shall consider future educational expectations and challenges to be faced by the University. The Committee shall also include any other matter delegated to the Committee by the Board.

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing the Committee shall: [...]

h. monitor educational and research trends, community expectations and demands; [...]

j. ensure that the academic teaching and research activities at the University are administered and undertaken in a manner consistent with the vision and mission of the University[.] [...]

The Committee shall review, evaluate, and provide information and

GFC ACADEMIC STANDARDS COMMITTEE



UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
UNIVERSITY GOVERNANCE

For the Meeting of April 19, 2012

FINAL Item No. 5

recommendations to the Board where the Board is making decisions
in areas generally related to areas of responsibility of the Committee."

Routing (Include meeting dates)

routing (include meeting dates)	
Consultative Route (parties who have seen the proposal and in what capacity)	For Advice: GFC Committee on the Learning Environment (CLE); GFC Academic Standards Committee (ASC); GFC Academic Planning Committee (APC); GFC Executive Committee; General Faculties Council (GFC); Association of Academic Staff – University of Alberta (AASUA); various Faculty representatives
	For Information: GFC Academic Planning Committee (April 25, 2012); Board Learning Environment Committee (BLDC) (June 1, 2012)
Approval Route (Governance) (including meeting dates)	GFC Academic Standards Committee (April 19, 2012) – for recommendation; GFC Executive Committee (May 7, 2012) – for recommendation; General Faculties Council (May 28, 2012) – for final approval
Final Approver	General Faculties Council

Attachments (each to be numbered 1 - <>):

For Approval:

- 1. Attachment 1 (pages 1 2): Assessment and Grading Policy
- 2. Attachment 2 (pages 1 2): Grading Procedure
- 3. Attachment 3 (page 1): Grading Procedure (Appendix A): Historical Distribution of Grades
- 4. Attachment 4 (pages 1 2): Access to Evaluative Course Material Procedure
- 5. Attachment 5 (pages 1 2): Consolidated Final Examinations Procedure
- 6. Attachment 6 (pages 1 17): Academic Regulations (*University Calendar* Section 23)

For Information:

- 7. Attachment 7 (pages 1 7): GFC Policy Manual Section 52 Tracked Changes (Comparative Table)
- 8. Attachment 8 (pages 1 10): GFC Policy Manual Section 61 Tracked Changes (Comparative Table)

Prepared by: Bill Connor, Vice-Provost (Academic Programs and Instruction), and Bobbi Schiestel, Portfolio Initiatives Manager, Office of the Provost and Vice-President (Academic)

VERSION 2 DRAFT DATE: 2012/04/19

NOTE: This header will be removed for UAPPOL publication

Go to: [Overview] [Purpose] [POLICY] [DEFINITIONS] [RELATED LINKS]

This document is the parent policy for any associated procedures or appendices. Questions regarding this policy should be addressed to the Office of Administrative Responsibility.

Assessment and Grading Policy

Office of Accountability:	Provost and Vice-President (Academic)
Office of Administrative Responsibility:	Provost and Vice-President (Academic)
Approver:	General Faculties Council
Scope:	Compliance with University policy extends to all members of the University community.

Overview

The *Post-Secondary Learning Act* of Alberta (*PSLA*) gives General Faculties Council (GFC) responsibility, subject to the authority of the Board of Governors, over "academic affairs" (section 26(1)). The *PSLA* also gives GFC responsibility over "timetables for examinations and for lectures and other instruction" (section 26(1)(d)) and to "consider and make decisions on the reports of faculty councils as to the appointment of examiners and the conduct and results of examinations in the faculties" (section 26(1)(e)). The *PSLA* gives faculty councils the authority to "appoint the examiners for examinations in the faculty, conduct the examinations and determine the results for them," "... subject to any conditions or restrictions that are imposed by GFC" (section 29(1)).

Purpose

The University's Assessment and Grading policy sets out the fundamental principles and core elements which characterize and guide the assessment of student performance and achievement in for credit programming. The purpose of the policy is to promote both consistency in assessment and grading practices across the university and adherence to appropriate academic standards. It provides for the development of rules and processes that manage student assessment and related activities.

POLICY

ASSESSMENT

Assessment is an integral part of the University's core mission of teaching and learning. It is undertaken in a formative manner to provide feedback to students and in summative form to measure the level of student achievement. Through the assignment of grades by instructors, in the exercise of their judgment, assessment serves as the means whereby the level of student knowledge and achievement is communicated to a variety of stakeholders in the broader world including prospective employers, other academic institutions and accrediting bodies. Given the variety of purposes served by assessment of student performance and achievement, it should be reliable, valid, fair, transparent and consistent with course or program goals and objectives. It must be capable of clear and timely communication to both students and other stakeholders.

Assessment at the University of Alberta is guided by the following principles:

1. Assessment should be integrated into and aligned with the learning experiences and stated objectives/ outcomes of a course and program;

5

VERSION 2 DRAFT DATE: 2012/04/19

NOTE: This header will be removed for UAPPOL publication

- 2. While this policy sets out the minimum expectations concerning the design and delivery of assessments, it does not limit the development of other, additional, innovative forms of effective assessment provided they are compatible with the principles stated in this policy;
- 3. General assessment methods and grading standards must be communicated clearly to students at the beginning of the course or program of study;
- 4. Clear and transparent assessment criteria should be provided to students throughout the course;
- 5. In assessment, the University is committed to providing reliable and valid information in which students, prospective employers and accrediting bodies can have confidence;
- 6. Where possible, assessment should be multifaceted (varied) and timely. Student achievement and performance should be assessed in a formative manner during a course and in a summative manner both during and at the end of a course and program;
- 7. In the design, delivery and reporting of summative assessments, the University is committed to open, accountable and equitable processes.

GRADING

Grades in any course, examination or other academic assessment shall not be mandated on the basis of a curve or historic distribution of student grades. The distribution of grades shall not be predetermined by any system of quotas that requires a certain number or percentage of grades at a particular level. However, a Faculty, department or unit may develop and provide guidelines to instructors setting out a reasonable distribution of grades in the Faculty or department.

6

DEFINITIONS

There are no definitions for this policy. [\[\textstyle Top \]

RELATED LINKS

University Calendar (University of Alberta)

VERSION 2.00 DRAFT DATE: 2012/04/19

PARENT POLICY: Assessment Policy

NOTE: This header will be <u>removed</u> for UAPPOL publication

Go to:	[Purpose]	[PROCEDURE]	[DEFINITIONS]	[FORMS]	[RELATED LINKS]
--------	-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	-----------------

This procedure is governed by its parent policy. Questions regarding this procedure should be addressed to the Office of Administrative Responsibility.

Grading Procedure

Office of Administrative Responsibility:	Provost and Vice-President (Academic)	
Approver:	General Faculties Council	
Scope:	Compliance with University procedure extends to all members of the University community.	

Purpose

- To explain acceptable methods of grading.
- To define the roles and responsibilities of instructors, Chairs, and Faculty Councils in matters concerning the assignment and approval of grades in courses.

PROCEDURE

1. GRADING

There will be no pre-determined quota of letter grades in a course. A student's level of achievement of the goals/outcomes of a course, their grade, and the descriptor of that grade (i.e., A and "excellent", B and "good", C and "satisfactory", etc., as defined in the University Calendar section on Academic Regulations) must be aligned. Faculties may recommend grade distributions as approved by the Faculty Council.

2. COMMUNICATION WITH STUDENTS

Course expectations regarding assignments, grading, and other course-related matters must be communicated clearly in the course syllabus. Regulations concerning matters related to the conduct of courses are contained in the University Calendar section on Academic Regulations.

3. AUTHORITY TO ASSIGN GRADES

The assignment of final grades in a course will be the initial responsibility of the instructor(s). Under the *Post-Secondary Learning Act* of Alberta, the Faculty Council under whose auspices a course is offered has the ultimate authority to determine the examination grades and final grades in a course, "subject to any conditions or restrictions imposed by GFC" (section 29(1)). Faculty Councils may delegate this final authority over grades to Deans or Chairs of Departments. Final grades must be approved by Faculty Council or delegate before they are submitted to the Office of the Registrar and Student Awards.

4. GRADING DISAGREEMENTS

Where a disagreement arises between an instructor of the course and the Faculty Council or delegate who approves the grades, the disagreement will be resolved by a process defined by the Faculty Council.

VERSION 2.00 DRAFT DATE: 2012/04/19

PARENT POLICY: Assessment Policy

NOTE: This header will be <u>removed</u> for UAPPOL publication

5. DATA DISSEMINATION

The historical, University-wide mean grades by course level are included in Appendix A. The Office of the Registrar will provide grade distribution data to Faculties and Departments annually. These data allow monitoring of consistency in grading over time and between sections of multiple-section courses, and provide insight into comparative grading across the institution.

6. RECORDS RETENTION

Each instructor will keep a record of either the raw scores or numerical grades achieved by students in each test, examination, or other assignment that will count towards the final grade and of the percentage weight assigned to each. The details contained in this documentation must enable the instructor (or the Department on the instructor's behalf) to reconstruct the student's final grade where the necessity arises.

Departments shall keep this documentation with the detailed record of the component marks for at least one year after the final examination.

Students' final examinations, and any unreturned term work, shall be retained and then shredded six months after the deadline for reappraisal and grade appeals (see §23.5.4(2)).

DEFINITIONS

There are no definitions for this procedure. [A Top]

FORMS

There are no forms for this procedure. [ATop]

RELATED LINKS

Should a link fail, please contact uappol@ualberta.ca. [ATop]

VERSION 2.00 DRAFT DATE: 2011/05/17

PARENT POLICY: Assessment Policy (Grading Procedure)

NOTE: This header will be <u>removed</u> for UAPPOL publication

This document is an appendix to its parent document. Questions regarding this document should be addressed to the Office of Administrative Responsibility.

Grading Procedure (Appendix A): Historical Distribution of Grades

Office of Administrative Responsibility:	Provost and Vice-President (Academic)
Approver:	General Faculties Council (Executive Committee)

Historical mean grades by course level are based on University-wide data from 2003 to 2010. They are provided for information and reference for instructors and students. They are inappropriate to use for small classes, and must not ever be applied rigidly even in large classes. Grades must reflect the level of student achievement.

It is the responsibility of the Registrar's Office, as custodian of the official grade records, to provide relevant grade distribution data annually to Faculties and Departments to allow monitoring of consistency in grading over time and between sections of multiple-section courses, and provide insight into comparative grading across the institution.

Historical Mean Grades in Undergraduate Courses					
	1 st year courses 2 nd year courses 3 rd year courses 4 th year course				
Mean	2.7	2.9	3.0	3.2	
	Historical Mean Grades in Graduate Courses				
	Mean				

RELATED LINKS

Should a link fail, please contact uappol@ualberta.ca. <a href="mailto:IATOP]

Do not delete RELATED LINKS heading or above message. A link to the parent Policy and a list of all of its Procedures and Appendices will be generated below automatically at publication.

9

Further RELATED LINKS are not recommended for APPENDIX documents.

VERSION 2.00 DRAFT DATE: 2012/04/19

PARENT POLICY: Assessment Policy

NOTE: This header will be <u>removed</u> for UAPPOL publication

Go to:	[Purpose]	[PROCEDURE]	[DEFINITIONS]	[FORMS]	[RELATED LINKS]

This procedure is governed by its parent policy. Questions regarding this procedure should be addressed to the Office of Administrative Responsibility.

Access to Evaluative Course Material Procedure

Office of Administrative Responsibility:	Provost and Vice-President (Academic)	
Approver:	General Faculties Council	
Scope:	Compliance with University procedure extends to all members of the University community.	

Overview

University regulations regarding the conduct of examinations are contained in Section 23 of the University Calendar, with the exception of this procedure concerning access to past evaluative material and the procedure concerning Consolidated Final Examinations.

Purpose

To define University-wide procedures with respect to access to past or representative evaluative material.

PROCEDURE

1. EVALUATIVE COURSE MATERIAL

The provision of student access to past or **representative evaluative course material** in undergraduate courses as outlined herein is intended to (a) ensure equal access to such material by all students and (b) provide students with a potentially useful aid to their studying.

a. Assessable Materials

Access must be provided to past or representative evaluative course material, listed below:

- Final examinations;
- Evaluative course material that accounts for 30% or greater of a student's course grade;
- Past evaluative course material that is made available by the Faculty, department or professor to students directly or via students' associations;
- Past evaluative course material that is permitted to leave the classroom;
- Representative evaluative course material where actual examinations are not provided.

b. Access requirements

Evaluative material will be made available in at least one of the following ways:

10

- By submission to the Students' Union Exam Registry by a representative of the teaching unit, such as a department or, in the case of non-departmentalized Faculties, the Faculty.

VERSION 2.00 DRAFT DATE: 2012/04/19

PARENT POLICY: Assessment Policy

NOTE: This header will be removed for UAPPOL publication

- By electronic publication on a website maintained by an instructor, a department or a Faculty where every student registered in a course will have equal access to the material.
- Answer keys are not required to be made available. No keys or answers to evaluative
 material will be made available unless the instructor has made these keys or answers
 available to students.
- Evaluative course material submitted by students or student associations must be approved by the Faculty, Department, or instructor.
- For the purposes of administering the Exam Registry, the Registrar's Office shall provide a list of all course changes to the Students' Union Exam Registry.

c. Exemptions

Particular courses or entire departments can be exempted from this policy at the discretion of each Faculty Council, provided that the Faculty has a policy that ensures the evaluative material to be exempted is neither permitted to leave the classroom nor made available to students in any manner outside the classroom.

Faculty Councils shall refer these exemptions, together with the minutes of that meeting, to the Students' Union for information.

Where a Faculty Council has approved the withholding of evaluative material, it is encouraged to provide access to representative course material, where possible.

DEFINITIONS

Any definitions listed in the following table apply to this document only with no implied or intended institution-wide use. [Top]		
Evaluative course material Evaluative course material shall be considered to be any final examination paper, any mid-term examination paper and any other examination paper which counts towards a student's course grade		
Representative evaluative course material	Representative evaluative course material shall be sample examination papers or questions that give a fair representation of the length, nature and level of difficulty of an actual examination in the course.	

FORMS

There are no forms for this procedure. [ATop]

RELATED LINKS

Should a link fail, please contact uappol@ualberta.ca. [ATop]

VERSION 3.00 DRAFT DATE: 2012/05/01

PARENT POLICY: Assessment Policy

NOTE: This header will be <u>removed</u> for UAPPOL publication

Go to:	[Purpose]	[PROCEDURE]	[DEFINITIONS]	[FORMS]	[RELATED LINKS]
--------	-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	-----------------

This procedure is governed by its parent policy. Questions regarding this procedure should be addressed to the Office of Administrative Responsibility.

Consolidated Final Examinations Procedure

Office of Administrative Responsibility:	Provost and Vice-President (Academic)
Approver:	General Faculties Council
Scope:	Compliance with University procedure extends to all members of the University community.

Overview

University regulations regarding the conduct of examinations are contained in the University Calendar, with the exception of this procedure concerning **consolidated final examinations** and the Access to Evaluative Course Material Procedure.

Purpose

To define University-wide procedures with respect to consolidated final examinations.

PROCEDURE

- COMMON (CONSOLIDATED) FINAL EXAMINATIONS IN MULTI-SECTIONED COURSES
 - a. Approval of consolidated examinations

Faculties will determine which courses best demonstrate the need for a consolidated final examination on a continuing basis, and request approval by the GFC Executive Committee. Approval must be obtained from the GFC Executive Committee prior to scheduling or conducting a consolidated final examination.

b. Time of consolidated examinations

Consolidated examinations will be scheduled as follows:

- The day immediately following the official end of classes for the term will not be used to schedule consolidated final examinations;
- Other days, excluding Sundays and holidays, prior to the official start of final examinations may be used;

12

- The Saturday during the official examination period may be used if it is not used for normally scheduled examinations;
- Days after the official examination period may be used providing they are prior to December 23rd in the Fall Term and allow a minimum of 23 working days between the last day of Winter Term examinations and the first day of Spring convocation.
- c. Coordination of examinations

VERSION 3.00 DRAFT DATE: 2012/05/01

PARENT POLICY: Assessment Policy

NOTE: This header will be <u>removed</u> for UAPPOL publication

The examinations are coordinated by the Faculty in which the courses are taught. Faculties and departments are responsible for providing instructional and support staff on exam day. There is no guarantee that gymnasium seating will be available.

- d. The Examinations and Timetabling division of the Registrar's Office will consult with Faculties regarding the dates and time of the consolidated examinations. Every attempt will be made to construct a conflict-free schedule. However, should a student have conflicting consolidated examinations scheduled, the Faculties involved will make an accommodation for the student. Courses for which consolidated examinations are given will be identified as such in the course listings and the times of writing presented on the website of the Registrar's Office (www.registrar.ualberta.ca).
- e. The Office of the Registrar will keep a list of courses that have used, or currently use, consolidated examinations.

DEFINITIONS

Any definitions listed in the following table apply to this document only with no implied or intended institution-wide use. [ATop]

Consolidated Final
Examination

A final examination for a multiple-section course that is held at a single time.

FORMS

There are no forms for this procedure. [\[\textstyle Top]

RELATED LINKS

Should a link fail, please contact uappol@ualberta.ca. [ATop]

23 Academic Regulations Revised March 8, 2012

CURRENT

PROPOSED

23.3 Attendance

23.3 Attendance

Since presence at lectures, participation in classroom discussions and projects, and the completion of assignments are important components of most courses, students will serve their interests best by regular attendance. Those who choose not to attend must assume whatever risks are involved. In connection to this students

should review the following sections.

The University recognizes that occasionally life events occur that require a student to miss term work, term examinations, or final examinations. However, excused absences are not granted automatically and will be considered only for acceptable reasons such as incapacitating illness, severe domestic affliction, or religious convictions.

Unacceptable reasons include, but are not limited to personal events such as vacations, weddings, or travel arrangements. When a student is absent without acceptable excuse, a final grade will be computed using a raw score of zero for the work missed. Any student who applies for or obtains an excused absence by making false statements will be liable under the Code of Student Behaviour. Students should consult their Faculty for detailed information and requirements.

(1) Absence from Term Work or Term Examinations

Approval for an excused absence from term work (e.g., classes, labs, assignments, quizzes, term papers, reports, etc.) or term exams is at the discretion of the instructor.

To apply for an excused absence, a student must present supporting documentation pertaining to the absence to the instructor within two working days following the scheduled date of the term work or term exam missed, or as soon as the student is able, having regard to the circumstances underlying the absence.

Since presence at lectures, participation in classroom discussions and projects, and the completion of assignments are important components of most courses, students will serve

components of most courses, students will serve their interests best by regular attendance. Those who choose not to attend must assume whatever risks are involved. In connection to this students should review the following sections.

The University recognizes that occasionally life events occur that require a student to miss term work, term examinations, or final examinations. However, excused absences are not granted automatically and will be considered only for acceptable reasons such as incapacitating illness, severe domestic affliction, or religious convictions.

Unacceptable reasons include, but are not limited to personal events such as vacations, weddings, or travel arrangements. When a student is absent without acceptable excuse, a final grade will be computed using a raw score of zero for the work missed. Any student who applies for or obtains an excused absence by making false statements will be liable under the Code of Student Behaviour. Students should consult their Faculty for detailed information and requirements.

(1) Absence from Term Work

Approval for an excused absence from term work (e.g., classes, labs, assignments, quizzes, term papers, reports, <u>or term examinations</u>) is at the discretion of the instructor.

To apply for an excused absence, a student must present supporting documentation pertaining to the absence to the instructor within two working days following the scheduled date of the term work or term exam missed, or as soon as the student is able, having regard to the circumstances underlying the absence.

•

- a. Where the cause is incapacitating illness: i. a medical note cannot be required.
 - ii. if a student chooses to provide a medical note, the University of Alberta *Medical Statement* Form may be downloaded from the Online Services section of www.registrar.ualberta.ca
 - iii. Instructors may request other adequate documentation at their discretion such as a form from the student's Faculty or a statutory declaration.

b. In other cases, including domestic affliction or religious conviction, adequate documentation must be provided to substantiate the reason for an absence. Instructors may either waive the term work or term exam, or require the student to make up the term work or term exam.

For a waiver, the percentage weight allotted to the term work or term exam missed may be distributed to other term work, term exams, and/or the final exam as decided by the instructor.

For make-ups, the student is required to complete equivalent term work or term exam as decided by the instructor. If the student does not complete the make-up as prescribed by the instructor, a raw score of zero will be assigned for the missed term work or term exam.

(2) **Absence from Final Exams:** A student who has missed a final exam because of incapacitating illness, severe domestic affliction or other compelling reason (including religious conviction) may apply for a deferred exam.

To apply for a deferred exam, a student must present supporting documentation pertaining to the absence to their Faculty office within two working days following the scheduled date of the exam missed, or as soon as the student is able, having regard to the circumstances underlying the absence.

- a. Where the cause is incapacitating illness:i. a medical note cannot be required.
 - ii. if a student chooses to provide a medical note, the University of Alberta *Medical Statement* Form may be downloaded from the Online Services section of www.registrar.ualberta.ca
 - iii. Instructors may request other adequate documentation at their discretion such as a form from the student's Faculty or a statutory declaration.

b. In other cases, including domestic affliction or religious conviction, adequate documentation must be provided to substantiate the reason for an absence. Instructors may either waive the term work or term exam, or require the student to make up the term work or term exam.

For a waiver, the percentage weight allotted to the term work or term exam missed may be distributed to other term work, term exams, and/or the final exam as decided by the instructor.

For make-ups, the student is required to complete equivalent term work or term exam as decided by the instructor. If the student does not complete the make-up as prescribed by the instructor, a raw score of zero will be assigned for the missed term work or term exam.

(2) **Absence from Final Exams:** A student who has missed a final exam because of incapacitating illness, severe domestic affliction or other compelling reason (including religious conviction) may apply for a deferred exam.

To apply for a deferred exam, a student must present supporting documentation pertaining to the absence to their Faculty office within two working days following the scheduled date of the exam missed, or as soon as the student is able, having regard to the circumstances underlying the absence.

- a. Where the cause is incapacitating illness: i. a medical note cannot be required.
 - ii. if a student chooses to provide a medical note, the University of Alberta *Medical Statement* Form may be downloaded from the Online Services section of www.registrar.ualberta.ca
 - iii. Faculties may request other adequate documentation such as a Faculty specific form or a statutory declaration.
- b. In other cases, including domestic affliction or religious conviction, adequate documentation must be provided to substantiate the reason for an absence.
- c. A deferred exam will not be approved if a student
 - i. has not been in regular attendance where attendance and/or participation are required, and/or,
 - ii. excluding the final exam, has completed less than half of the assigned work.
- d. Students with two or more deferred exams outstanding from a previous term may be required to reduce the number of courses in which they are registered.
- e. The student must seek the approval of the dean or designate of the student's Faculty on the application for a deferred final exam, If approved, students should refer to §23.5.6 for details on writing deferred exams;
- f. In the case of an approved application for deferred final exam, the student's Faculty will inform the Department responsible for the course of the approved deferred exam. The Department will then notify the instructor;
- g. Payment of the required fee will normally be made at the time of approval of the application for deferral but must be made no later than two weeks after approval. Refer to §22.2.8 for details

- a. Where the cause is incapacitating illness: i. a medical note cannot be required.
 - ii. if a student chooses to provide a medical note, the University of Alberta *Medical Statement* Form may be downloaded from the Online Services section of www.registrar.ualberta.ca
 - iii. Faculties may request other adequate documentation such as a Faculty specific form or a statutory declaration.
- b. In other cases, including domestic affliction or religious conviction, adequate documentation must be provided to substantiate the reason for an absence.
- c. A deferred exam will not be approved if a student
 - i. has not been in regular attendance where attendance and/or participation are required, and/or,
 - ii. excluding the final exam, has completed less than half of the assigned work.
- d. Students with two or more deferred exams outstanding from a previous term may be required to reduce the number of courses in which they are registered.
- e. The student must seek the approval of the dean or designate of the student's Faculty on the application for a deferred final exam, If approved, students should refer to §23.5.6 for details on writing deferred exams;
- f. In the case of an approved application for deferred final exam, the student's Faculty will inform the Department responsible for the course of the approved deferred exam. The Department will then notify the instructor;
- g. Payment of the required fee will normally be made at the time of approval of the application for deferral but must be made no later than two weeks after approval. Refer to §22.2.8 for details

on where to pay fees and to §22.2.12 for fees for special services.

on where to pay fees and to §22.2.12 for fees for special services.

23.4 Evaluation Procedures and Grading System

23.4 Evaluation Procedures and Grading System

(1) Weighting of Term Work and Final Examinations: In each course in which a final examination is held, a weight of not less than 30 percent and not more than 70 percent will be assigned to the final examination, except where a departure from this arrangement has been authorized by the council of the Faculty in which the department offering the course is situated. The remaining weight for the course will be assigned to term work.

(2) Course Requirements, Evaluation Procedures and Grading: The policies set out below are intended to provide instructors and their students with general course information. GFC, in approving these guidelines, expected that there would be a common sense approach to their application and understood that circumstances might develop, during a term, where a change to the course outline, as set out in §23.4(2)a., made sense to all concerned. Such changes shall only occur with fair

Students concerned about the application of these guidelines should consult, in turn, the instructor, the chair of the department by which the course is offered, and the dean of the faculty in which the course is offered.

warning or general class consent.

- a. At the beginning of each course, instructors are required by GFC to provide a course outline which must include the following:
 - i. a statement of the course objectives and general content
 - ii. a list of the required textbooks and other major course materials
 - iii. a list of any other course fees as described in the 'Student Instructional Support Fees Policy' and their associated costs

(1) Weighting of Term Work and Final Examinations: In each course in which a final examination is held, a weight of not less than 30 percent and not more than 70 percent will be assigned to the final examination, except where a departure from this arrangement has been authorized by the council of the Faculty in which the department offering the course is situated. The remaining weight for the course will be assigned to term work.

(2) Course Requirements, Evaluation Procedures and Grading: The policies set out below are intended to provide instructors and their students with general course information. GFC, in approving these guidelines, expected that there would be a common sense approach to their application and understood that circumstances might develop, during a term, where a change to the course outline, as set out in §23.4(2)a., made sense to all concerned. Such changes shall only occur with fair warning or general class consent.

Students concerned about the application of these guidelines should consult, in turn, the instructor, the chair of the department by which the course is offered, and the dean of the faculty in which the course is offered.

- a. At the beginning of each course, instructors are required by GFC to provide a course <u>outline</u> to students and their <u>Department</u> (or <u>Faculty in non-Departmentalized Faculties</u>) that includes the following:
 - i. a statement of the course objectives_and general content
 - ii. a list of the required textbooks and other major course materials
 - iii. a list of any other course fees as described in the 'Student Instructional Support Fees Policy' and their associated costs

iv. an indication of how and when students have access to the instructor

v. the distribution of weight between term work and final examination

vi. identification of all course activities worth 10% or more of the overall course mark

vii. whether marks are given for class participation and other in-class activities as well as the weight of such participation

viii. dates of any examination and course assignments with a weight of 10% or more of the overall course mark.

[NEW]

ix. the manner in which the official University grading system is to be implemented in that particular course or section, i.e., whether a particular distribution is to be used to determine grades, or whether there are absolute measures or marks which will determine them, or whether a combination of the two will be used. Instructors should refer to the University of Alberta Marking and Grading Guidelines.

x. an indication of how students will be given access to past or representative evaluative course material.

[NEW]

iv. an indication of how and when students have access to the instructor

v. the distribution of weight between term work and final examination

vi. the relative weight of all term work contributing to the course grade

vii. whether marks are given for class participation and other in-class activities as well as the weight of such participation

viii. dates of any examination and course assignments with a weight of 10% or more of the overall course grade.

ix. the process by which the term marks will be translated into a final letter grade for the course. The process must be consistent with the University of Alberta Assessment Policy and accompanying Grading Procedure, found at the University of Alberta Policies and Principles Online (UAPPOL) website at www.uappol.ualberta.ca. If a Faculty has a Faculty-specific Grading Procedures, the details of that Procedure are contained in the appropriate Faculty section of the Calendar

x. an indication of how students will be given access to past or representative evaluative course material, consistent with the Access to Evaluative Material Procedure of the Assessment Policy, found at the University of Alberta Policies and Procedures Online (UAPPOL) website at www.uappol.ualberta.ca.

xi. the statement: "Policy about course outlines can be found in §23.4(2) of the University Calendar"

xii. the statement: "The University of Alberta is committed to the highest standards of academic integrity and honesty. Students are expected to be familiar with these standards

- b. Every course outline should contain the following statement: "Policy about course outlines can be found in §23.4(2) of the University Calendar."
- c. Every course outline should contain the following statement: "The University of Alberta is committed to the highest standards of academic integrity and honesty. Students are expected to be familiar with these standards regarding academic honesty and to uphold the policies of the University in this respect. Students are particularly urged to familiarize themselves with the provisions of the Code of Student Behaviour (online at www.governance.ualberta.ca) and avoid any behaviour which could potentially result in suspicions of cheating, plagiarism, misrepresentation of facts and/or participation in an offence. Academic dishonesty is a serious offence and can result in suspension or expulsion from the University."
- d. Nothing in any course outline, syllabus or course web-site may override or contravene any Calendar regulation or GFC policy. In resolving any discrepancy, GFC policy and Calendar regulations will take precedence.
- e. Instructors may indicate in the course outline the date, time and place on which the deferred examination for the course will occur, should one be required. See §23.5.6.

regarding academic honesty and to uphold the policies of the University in this respect. Students are particularly urged to familiarize themselves with the provisions of the Code of Student Behaviour (online at www.governance.ualberta.ca) and avoid any behaviour which could potentially result in suspicions of cheating, plagiarism, misrepresentation of facts and/or participation in an offence. Academic dishonesty is a serious offence and can result in suspension or expulsion from the University."

- <u>b.</u> Nothing in any course outline, syllabus or course web-site <u>can</u> override or contravene any Calendar regulation or <u>University</u> policy. In resolving any discrepancy, <u>University</u> policy and Calendar regulations take precedence.
- <u>c</u>. Instructors may indicate in the course outline the date, time and place on which the deferred examination for the course will occur, should one be required. See §23.5.6.

- f. Instructors-should discuss with the class their expectations with respect to academic honesty issues and outline both permitted and prohibited behaviour.
- g. Every course outline must contain the following statement: "Audio or video recording of lectures, labs, seminars or any other teaching environment by students is allowed only with the prior written consent of the instructor or as a part of an approved accommodation plan. Recorded material is to be used solely for personal study, and is not to be used or distributed for any other purpose without prior written consent from the instructor."
- h. Instructors must follow copyright regulations as established by the University from time to time in the duplication of course material.

- i. Instructors should allow students a reasonable time in which to complete an assignment, bearing in mind its weight.
- j. Instructors-should mark and return to students with reasonable dispatch all term examinations and, provided the students submit them by the due date, all course projects, assignments, essays, etc.
- k. All projects, assignments, essays, etc., should be returned on or by the last day of classes in the course, with the exception of a final major assignment (which may be due on the last day of classes), which should be returned by the date of the scheduled final examination or, in non-examination courses, by the last day of the examination period.
- I. Upon request, instructors are required to provide the method which was used to translate

- d. At the beginning of a course, instructors will discuss with their class the expectations with respect to academic integrity and outline both permitted and prohibited behaviour.
- e. Every course outline must contain the following statement: "Audio or video recording of lectures, labs, seminars or any other teaching environment by students is allowed only with the prior written consent of the instructor or as a part of an approved accommodation plan. Recorded material is to be used solely for personal study, and is not to be used or distributed for any other purpose without prior written consent from the instructor."
- f. Each assessment is linked to the stated course objectives and/or learning outcomes. Students should be provided with the criteria for these assessments early in and, if necessary, throughout the course.
- g. Instructors <u>will</u> allow students a reasonable time in which to complete an assignment, bearing in mind its weight.
- h. Instructors will mark, provide appropriate feedback, and return to students all term work in a timely manner.
- i. Normally term work will be returned on or by the last day of classes in the course, with the exception of a final major assignment (which may be due on the last day of classes), which will be returned by the date of the scheduled final examination or, in non-examination courses, by the last day of the examination period. All exceptions must be authorized by the Faculty Council (or delegate) in the faculty offering the course.
- j. Upon request, instructors are required to provide the <u>process used to generate the final</u>

final and, where appropriate, term marks into grades.

- (3) Procedures for Registering Complaints about Marking, Grading, and Related Issues:
 - a. Where the above guidelines have not been followed or where students have concerns about the instructor's teaching, the student should make the concern known to the appropriate individual in the following sequence:
 - i. Instructor
 - ii. Chair of the department in which the course is taught
 - iii. Dean of the Faculty in which the course is taught (some Faculties have delegated this authority to departments)
 - b. A student needing advice on these matters should see the student advisors in the Office of the Dean of Students.
 - c. These procedures do not constitute a mechanism for appeals and grievances regarding the academic standing or individual grades of a student. Appeals and grievances of that nature are dealt with in §23.8 of the Calendar.
- (4) **Assigning Grades:** Grades reflect judgements of student achievement made by instructors. These judgements are based on a combination of absolute achievement and relative performance in a class. The instructor should mark in terms of raw scores, rank the assignments in order of merit, and, with due attention to the verbal descriptions of the various grades, assign an appropriate letter grade to each assignment.

Course Grades Obtained by Undergraduate Students:

Descriptor Letter Grade Grade Point Value
A+ 4.0
Excellent A 4.0

grade.

- (3) Procedures for Registering Complaints about Marking, Grading, and Related Issues:
 - a. Where the above guidelines have not been followed or where students have concerns about the instructor's marking and grading, the student should make the concern known to the appropriate individual in the following sequence:
 - i. Instructor
 - ii. Chair of the <u>Department</u> in which the course is taught
 - iii. Dean of the Faculty in which the course is taught (some Faculties have delegated this authority to departments)
 - b. A student needing advice on these matters should see <u>an</u> advisor in the <u>Student</u> <u>OmbudService</u>.
 - c. These procedures do not constitute a mechanism for appeals and grievances regarding the academic standing or individual grades of a student. Appeals and grievances of that nature are dealt with in §23.8 of the Calendar.
- (4) Assigning Grades: Grades reflect judgements of student achievement made by instructors and must correspond to the associated descriptor.

 These judgements are based on a combination of absolute achievement and relative performance in a class. Faculties may define acceptable grading practices in their disciplines. Such grading practices must align with the University of Alberta Assessment and Grading Policy and it procedures, which are available online at the University of Alberta Policies and Procedures Online (UAPPOL) website (www.policiesonline.ualberta.ca).

Course Grades Obtained by Undergraduate Students:

Descriptor	Letter Grade	Grade Point Value	
	A+	4.0	
Excellent	Α	4.0	

8

	A-	3.7	
	B+	3.3	
Good	В	3.0	Good
	B-	2.7	
	C+	2.3	
Satisfactory	С	2.0	Satisfactory
	C-	1.7	
Poor	D+	1.3	Poor
Minimal Pass	D	1.0	Minimal Pass
Failure	F or F4	0.0	Failure

Note: F4 denotes eligibility of a student to apply for a reexamination of a course.

Course Grades Obtained by Graduate Students:

Descriptor	Letter Grade	Grade Point Value
-	A+	4.0
Excellent	Α	4.0
	A-	3.7
	B+	3.3
Good	В	3.0
	B-	2.7
Satisfactory	C+	2.3
	С	2.0
	C-	1.7
Failure	D+	1.3
	D	1.0
	F	0.0

(5) In addition to the grades described above, the University of Alberta currently records the following grades and remarks:

Final Grades

aegrotat standing			
registered as an auditor			
registered as an auditor and withdrew			
completed requirements, no grade point			
value assigned			
exempt			
incomplete			

EX	exempt
IN	incomplete

ΙP course in progress

IP* withdrew from or failed course in progress NC failure, no grade point value assigned

withdrew with permission W

Remarks

	A-	3.7
	B+	3.3
Good	В	3.0
	B-	2.7
	C+	2.3
Satisfactory	С	2.0
	C-	1.7
Poor	D+	1.3
Minimal Pass	D	1.0
Failure	F or F4	0.0

Note: F4 denotes eligibility of a student to apply for a reexamination of a course.

Course Grades Obtained by Graduate Students:

Descriptor	Letter Grade	Grade Point Value
	A+	4.0
Excellent	Α	4.0
	A-	3.7
	B+	3.3
Good	В	3.0
	B-	2.7
Satisfactory	C+	2.3
	С	2.0
	C-	1.7
Failure	D+	1.3
	D	1.0
	F	0.0

(5) In addition to the grades described above, the University of Alberta currently records the following grades and remarks:

Final Grades

ΑE	aegrotat standing		
AU	registered as an auditor		
AW	registered as an auditor and withdrew		
CR	completed requirements, no grade point		
value assigned			
EX	exempt		
IN	incomplete		
IP	course in progress		

IP* withdrew from or failed course in progress NC failure, no grade point value assigned

withdrew with permission W

Remarks

1 grade includes a mark of '0' for final examination missed, or for term work missed, or both

2 grade includes a mark of '0' for final examination missed, or for term work missed, or both, and deferred final examination granted

3 credit withheld

4 reexamination granted

5 failure (assigned to failing Graduate Student grades only)

6 failure, grade includes a mark of '0' for final examination missed, or for term work missed, or both (assigned to failing Graduate Student grades only)

7 failure, grade includes a mark of '0' for final examination missed, or for term work missed, or both, and deferred final examination granted (assigned to failing Graduate Student grades only) 8 disciplinary sanction for serious instances of inappropriate academic behavior

9 failure, disciplinary sanction for serious instances of inappropriate academic behavior (assigned in the same circumstances as the remark of 8 but to failing Graduate Student grades only)

(6) **Grade Point Average (GPA):** All courses have been assigned an appropriate weighting factor, which along with a student's grade point values, enables the Registrar's Office or the Dean's office to compute the Grade Point Average.

The Grade Point Average (GPA) is a measure of a student's weighted average, obtained by dividing the total number of grade points earned by the total units of course weight attempted.

Rules for Computing the GPA

- a. The GPA for any period is based on the final grades, including failing grades in all courses taken during a specified period.
- b. GPAs are calculated according to the following formula: GPA = sum of [grade point value x units of course weight] / sum of units of course weight

1 grade includes a mark of '0' for final examination missed, or for term work missed, or both

2 grade includes a mark of '0' for final examination missed, or for term work missed, or both, and deferred final examination granted

3 credit withheld

4 reexamination granted

5 failure (assigned to failing Graduate Student grades only)

6 failure, grade includes a mark of '0' for final examination missed, or for term work missed, or both (assigned to failing Graduate Student grades only)

7 failure, grade includes a mark of '0' for final examination missed, or for term work missed, or both, and deferred final examination granted (assigned to failing Graduate Student grades only) 8 disciplinary sanction for serious instances of inappropriate academic behavior

9 failure, disciplinary sanction for serious instances of inappropriate academic behavior (assigned in the same circumstances as the remark of 8 but to failing Graduate Student grades only)

(6) Courses may be graded on a pass/fail or credit/no credit basis upon specific approval of the appropriate Faculty Council.

(67) **Grade Point Average (GPA):** All courses have been assigned an appropriate weighting factor, which along with a student's grade point values, enables the Registrar's Office or the Dean's office to compute the Grade Point Average.

The Grade Point Average (GPA) is a measure of a student's weighted average, obtained by dividing the total number of grade points earned by the total units of course weight attempted.

Rules for Computing the GPA

- a. The GPA for any period is based on the final grades, including failing grades in all courses taken during a specified period.
- b. GPAs are calculated according to the following formula: GPA = sum of [grade point value x units of course weight] / sum of units of course weight

GPA = sum of [grade point value x units of course weight] / sum of units of course weight

- c. A reexamination mark or a deferred examination mark replaces the original final examination mark. The revised final grade is included in the computation of the GPA.
- d. When a student has a deferred, incomplete or a missing grade, the GPA is not computed until a final grade is reported.
- e. Grades of IN5 are counted as numeric grades of 0.00 in the computation of any GPA.
- f. Grades of W (withdrew) are excluded from the computation of the GPA.
- g. Grades of CR and NC are not included in the computation of any GPA.
- h. Any GPA is rounded to the nearest decimal place using standard rounding rules that is it is rounded up with a value of 5 or greater in the first nonsignificant place and rounded down with a value of 4 or less in the first nonsignificant place.

Types of Grade Point Averages: Faculties may use different averages for various decisions regarding academic standing, promotions, and graduation, as long as these have been approved by General Faculties Council. Such averages are descriptively labelled.

- a. Admission Grade Point Averages (AGPA) see §14.2.1.
- b. Fall/Winter and/or Spring/Summer Grade
 Point Averages are reported on transcripts and
 is used by most Faculties to determine academic
 standing.
- c. **Term Grade Point Average** may be calculated at the end of Fall, Winter, Spring or Summer, and used to determine eligibility for reexamination (see §23.5.5).

GPA = sum of [grade point value x units of course weight]/sum of units of course weight

- c. A reexamination mark or a deferred examination mark replaces the original final examination mark. The revised final grade is included in the computation of the GPA.
- d. When a student has a deferred, incomplete or a missing grade, the GPA is not computed until a final grade is reported.
- e. Grades of IN5 are counted as numeric grades of 0.00 in the computation of any GPA.
- f. Grades of W (withdrew) are excluded from the computation of the GPA.
- g. Grades of CR and NC are not included in the computation of any GPA.
- h. Any GPA is rounded to the nearest decimal place using standard rounding rules that is it is rounded up with a value of 5 or greater in the first nonsignificant place and rounded down with a value of 4 or less in the first nonsignificant place

Types of Grade Point Averages: Faculties may use different averages for various decisions regarding academic standing, promotions, and graduation, as long as these have been approved by General Faculties Council. Such averages are descriptively labelled.

- a. Admission Grade Point Averages (AGPA) see §14.2.1.
- b. Fall/Winter and/or Spring/Summer Grade
 Point Averages are reported on transcripts and
 is used by most Faculties to determine academic
 standing.
- c. **Term Grade Point Average** may be calculated at the end of Fall, Winter, Spring or Summer, and used to determine eligibility for reexamination (see §23.5.5).

- d. **Promotion and Graduation Grade Point Averages** are Faculty specific and are defined in the Faculty sections of the Calendar.
- e. Faculty Grade Point Average (FGPA): The Faculty Grade Point Average (FGPA) is a cumulative measure of a student's grade points obtained while registered in a Faculty in all years and terms, including Spring/Summer. FGPA is a weighted average obtained by dividing the total grade points earned by the total units of course weight attempted. The FGPA is currently used by the Faculty of Arts.

Rules for Computing the FGPA

- i. The rules related to calculation of GPA [see §23.4(6)] also apply to the calculation of FGPA.
- ii. The initial assessment and any subsequent reassessment of academic standing using FGPA is based on a student's performance in a minimum of nine units of course weight (**9). If, at the time of review, the student has attempted less than *9 since the last assessment while registered in the Faculty, the assessment will be deferred until the next assessment period.
- iii. Assessments are performed at the end of a student's registration in Fall/Winter (or at the end of a student's program) and are based upon the final grades in all courses taken in that and prior periods while registered in the Faculty. At the discretion of the faculty an assessment may also be performed at the end of a student's registration in Spring/Summer.
- iv. If a student is required to withdraw and subsequently allowed to continue (after a successful appeal or after successfully completing required work at another institution) the FGPA will be calculated from the term in which readmission or continuation is granted, not from the time of first admission into the Faculty. Such a restart of FGPA will be allowed only once for any

- d. **Promotion and Graduation Grade Point Averages** are Faculty specific and are defined in the Faculty sections of the Calendar.
- e. Faculty Grade Point Average (FGPA): The Faculty Grade Point Average (FGPA) is a cumulative measure of a student's grade points obtained while registered in a Faculty in all years and terms, including Spring/Summer. FGPA is a weighted average obtained by dividing the total grade points earned by the total units of course weight attempted. The FGPA is currently used by the Faculty of Arts.

Rules for Computing the FGPA

- i. The rules related to calculation of GPA [see §23.4(6)] also apply to the calculation of FGPA.
- ii. The initial assessment and any subsequent reassessment of academic standing using FGPA is based on a student's performance in a minimum of nine units of course weight (Œ9). If, at the time of review, the student has attempted less than Œ9 since the last assessment while registered in the Faculty, the assessment will be deferred until the next assessment period.
- iii. Assessments are performed at the end of a student's registration in Fall/Winter (or at the end of a student's program) and are based upon the final grades in all courses taken in that and prior periods while registered in the Faculty. At the discretion of the faculty an assessment may also be performed at the end of a student's registration in Spring/Summer.
- iv. If a student is required to withdraw and subsequently allowed to continue (after a successful appeal or after successfully completing required work at another institution) the FGPA will be calculated from the term in which readmission or continuation is granted, not from the time of first admission into the Faculty. Such a restart of FGPA will be allowed only once for any

12

student in a Faculty.

- v. Students continuing in a Faculty following a restarted FGPA as described above must thereafter maintain a minimum FGPA of 2.0.
- (7) **Aegrotat Standing:** Aegrotat standing may be granted, in special circumstances, on the grounds of illness to deserving students who have completed at least one year at the University of Alberta in the Faculty in which they are currently registered. Any student wishing to take advantage of this privilege should apply without delay to the dean of the Faculty concerned. Any other student absent from a final examination because of illness may apply for permission to write the regular deferred examination.
- (8) Competence in Written Work: General Faculties Council urges all instructors of University undergraduate courses to provide that suitable portions of course testing or other course work should be in the form of written essay responses and to emphasize to students that competence in written work is integral to competence in a subject and will constitute part of the basis on which the student's course grade is determined.

All instructors should make clear at the outset of each course their expectations in regard to the standard and importance of writing in assignments and examinations. Refer to §24.16 for information on Effective Writing Resources.

(9) Official Grades: Student grades are unofficial until they have been approved by the appropriate Faculty Council at the end of Fall/Winter or Spring/Summer. Prior to approval by Faculty Council, unofficial grades are reported on transcripts and so noted. Students can generally expect that official grades will be available in early June for Fall/Winter, and early September for Spring/Summer. Students obtain their Statement of Results on Bear Tracks (https://www.beartracks.ualberta.ca) following the approval of grades.

student in a Faculty.

- v. Students continuing in a Faculty following a restarted FGPA as described above must thereafter maintain a minimum FGPA of 2.0.
- (78) Aegrotat Standing: Aegrotat standing may be granted, in special circumstances, on the grounds of illness to deserving students who have completed at least one year at the University of Alberta in the Faculty in which they are currently registered. Any student wishing to take advantage of this privilege should apply without delay to the dean of the Faculty concerned. Any other student absent from a final examination because of illness may apply for permission to write the regular deferred examination.
- (89) Competence in Written Work: General Faculties Council urges all instructors of University undergraduate courses to provide that suitable portions of course testing or other course work should be in the form of written essay responses and to emphasize to students that competence in written work is integral to competence in a subject and will constitute part of the basis on which the student's course grade is determined.

All instructors should make clear at the outset of each course their expectations in regard to the standard and importance of writing in assignments and examinations.

(910) Official Grades: Student grades are unofficial until they have been approved by the appropriate Faculty Council or delegate at the end of Fall/Winter or Spring/Summer. Prior to approval by Faculty Council, unofficial grades are reported on transcripts and so noted. Students can generally expect that official grades will be available in early June for Fall/Winter, and early September for Spring/Summer. Students obtain their Statement of Results on Bear Tracks (https://www.beartracks.ualberta.ca) following the approval of grades.

23.5 Examinations (Exams)

23.5 Examinations (Exams)

23.5.1 Conduct of Exams	23.5.1 Conduct of Exams	
	[NO CHANGE]	
23.5.2 Term Examinations	23.5.2 Term Examinations	
	[NO CHANGE]	
23.5.3 Final Examinations	23.5.3 Final Examinations	
There is no requirement by General Faculties Council that the final examination must be written in order to obtain credit: Faculties are permitted to make their own regulations in this regard.	There is no requirement by General Faculties Council that the final examination must be written in order to obtain credit: Faculties are permitted to make their own regulations in this regard.	
(1) Final Examinations: A final examination is held in each course except where departure from this arrangement has been authorized by the Faculty council governing the department offering the course. Final examinations for Fall courses shall be held in December. Final examinations for Winter or two-term Fall/Winter courses shall be held in April. Final examinations for Spring courses shall be held in June. Final examinations for Summer or two-term Spring/Summer courses shall be held in August. Examinations for evening credit program and late afternoon and evening courses are to be held during the last regular class period, excluding Augustana Faculty. Final examinations in Augustana Faculty evening courses are to be held in the evening during the regular examination period.	(1) Final Examinations: A final examination is held in each course except where departure from this arrangement has been authorized by the Faculty council governing the department offering the course. Final examinations for Fall courses shall be held in December. Final examinations for Winter or two-term Fall/Winter courses shall be held in April. Final examinations for Spring courses shall be held in June. Final examinations for Summer or two-term Spring/Summer courses shall be held in August. Examinations for evening credit program and late afternoon and evening courses are to be held during the last regular class period, excluding Augustana Faculty. Final examinations in Augustana Faculty evening courses are to be held in the evening during the regular examination period.	
(2) Examination Schedule: The schedule of Fall and Winter Terms final examinations (and midterm examinations in two-term courses) shall be related directly to the basic University timetable.	(2) Examination Schedule: The schedule of Fall and Winter Terms final examinations (and midterm examinations in two-term courses) shall be related directly to the basic University timetable.	
Where possible, the final examination schedule for Augustana Faculty courses shall be related directly to the basic University timetable. Some dates may vary. Students should also see §54.4.	Where possible, the final examination schedule for Augustana Faculty courses shall be related directly to the basic University timetable. Some dates may vary. Students should also see §54.4.	
In the Faculty of Education, for those Faculty courses which form part of a professional term and hence do not conform to the standard timetable, the scheduling of the final examinations shall become the responsibility of the Faculty.	In the Faculty of Education, for those Faculty courses which form part of a professional term and hence do not conform to the standard timetable, the scheduling of the final examinations shall become the responsibility of the Faculty.	

In the MBA program final examinations in all evening courses shall be scheduled into the week immediately following the last week of classes in each term in order to allow for equal instructional hours in the full- and part-time programs.

Final examinations or mid-term examinations in two-term courses shall not exceed three hours in length.

The Examination Schedule shall be adhered to and no attempt made to adjust examination schedules to accommodate students who have failed a course and are repeating without attendance.

Normally there shall be no departure from the official Final Examination Schedule. Instructors and students may, however, petition the Faculty Council concerned for permission to depart from the Schedule if justified reasons can be established. No departures shall be considered for approval unless the instructor of the class concerned and every student registered for credit in the class have given their written consent. Such consent shall take the form of either written letters or signed and dated forms made available from the Dean's office. These notices of consent shall be conveyed to the Dean of the Faculty offering the course on an individual basis at least one month prior to the new dates being requested. If and when unanimous consent is received from the class, this fact shall be communicated to both the instructor involved and the Faculty Council. All such notices of consent shall be received by the Dean, in confidence, and it will not be the Dean's responsibility to insure that all members of the class have been informed of the need to submit such written consents. This will be the responsibility of the Instructor or of those members of the class who have initiated the request for a change in the examination date.

The requirement that notices of consent for

In the MBA program final examinations in all evening courses shall be scheduled into the week immediately following the last week of classes in each term in order to allow for equal instructional hours in the full- and part-time programs.

Final examinations or mid-term examinations in two-term courses shall not exceed three hours in length.

The Examination Schedule shall be adhered to and no attempt made to adjust examination schedules to accommodate students who have failed a course and are repeating without attendance.

Normally there shall be no departure from the official Final Examination Schedule. Instructors and students may, however, petition the Faculty Council concerned for permission to depart from the Schedule if justified reasons can be established. No departures shall be considered for approval unless the instructor of the class concerned and every student registered for credit in the class have given their written consent. Such consent shall take the form of either written letters or signed and dated forms made available from the Dean's office. These notices of consent shall be conveyed to the Dean of the Faculty offering the course on an individual basis at least one month prior to the new dates being requested. If and when unanimous consent is received from the class, this fact shall be communicated to both the instructor involved and the Faculty Council. All such notices of consent shall be received by the Dean, in confidence, and it will not be the Dean's responsibility to insure that all members of the class have been informed of the need to submit such written consents. This will be the responsibility of the Instructor or of those members of the class who have initiated the request for a change in the examination date.

Note: Permission to depart from the Final Examination Schedule may be authorized by the Dean in Faculties where the Faculty Council has delegated this responsibility to the Dean.

The requirement that notices of consent for

departures from the official Final Examination Schedule shall be conveyed to the Dean at least **one month** prior to the new date being requested, shall be rigidly enforced.

The rescheduling of examinations or tests in the final week of classes is not permitted.

- (3) Where a final examination has been scheduled, students registered in the course may not be debarred from writing that final examination.
- (4) Marking of Papers: Departments and instructors shall be informed that the marking of examinations be given first priority in order to expedite the submission of *Grade Report Forms* to the Registrar.

No member of a Department shall be permitted to go away taking the original examinations papers with him or her for marking without permission of the unit head. In a case where a member of a Department applies for leave before the end of term, this will only be granted on condition that the head of the Department arranges for the papers to be read here in the usual way.

All examinations and assignments shall be marked only by the instructor(s) or by persons expressly authorized by the Department Chair (or by the Dean in non-departmentalized Faculties) to mark them.

(5) The following discretionary policy on student access to final examination papers was affirmed by General Faculties Council:

Departments subscribing to the belief that there is educational value in permitting students to see

departures from the official Final Examination Schedule shall be conveyed to the Dean at least one month prior to the new date being requested, shall be rigidly enforced.

The rescheduling of examinations or tests in the final week of classes is not permitted.

- (3) Where a final examination has been scheduled, students registered in the course may not be debarred from writing that final examination.
- (4) **Marking of <u>examinations</u>**: Departments and instructors shall be informed that the marking of examinations be given first priority in order to expedite the submission of <u>grades</u> to the Registrar.

No member of a Department shall be permitted to go away taking the original examinations papers with him or her for marking without permission of the unit head. In a case where a member of a Department applies for leave before the end of term, this will only be granted on condition that the head of the Department arranges for the papers to be <u>marked</u> here in the usual way.

All examinations and assignments shall be marked only by the instructor(s) or by persons expressly authorized by the Department Chair (or by the Dean in non-departmentalized Faculties) to mark them.

In the case where the student has missed the final examination, the instructor shall compute and record the course grade for that student with a score of zero for the final examination. The instructor must enter the remark "1" in the "Final Grade/Remarks" column of the Grade Report Form to indicate that the student was absent from the final examination.

(5) The following discretionary policy on student access to final examination papers was affirmed by General Faculties Council:

Departments subscribing to the belief that there is educational value in permitting students to see

their final examination papers after they have been marked are not only at liberty, but are encouraged, to make the papers available on request, and to allow for discussion where possible; that the objective here is an educational end: i.e., it is **not** a substitute for existing reappraisal procedures for the reconciliation of grades; that where the department is prepared to grant outright release of the paper it must not do so until the deadline for applications for reappraisal has passed, since an appeal cannot be entertained after the custody of the paper has been relinquished to the student.

(6) **Grades of Incomplete:** The grade of "incomplete" (IN) is normally awarded when an undergraduate student is prevented by illness, domestic affliction, or other extreme circumstance from submitting an assignment by the end of term. When a grade of IN is awarded, the student is required to submit the assignment within 10 days after the end of the final examination period of the term for the course. At the request of the Department Chair concerned, the student's Dean may grant an extension of time to a maximum of four months after the end of the term. If the assignment is not submitted by the prescribed deadline, the Registrar will record a grade of "NC" or a grade of "F" plus a remark of "1", as appropriate. Before finalizing the student's grade the Office of the Registrar and Student Awards will give 30 days written notice to the student's Faculty and to the Department in which the course is offered.

their final examination papers after they have been marked are not only at liberty, but are encouraged, to make the papers available on request, and to allow for discussion where possible; that the objective here is an educational end: i.e., it is not a substitute for existing reappraisal procedures for the reconciliation of grades; that where the department is prepared to grant outright release of the paper it must not do so until the deadline for applications for reappraisal has passed, since an appeal cannot be entertained after the custody of the paper has been relinquished to the student.

(6) **Grades of Incomplete:** The grade of "incomplete" (IN) is normally awarded when an undergraduate student is prevented by illness, domestic affliction, or other extreme circumstance from submitting an assignment by the end of term. When a grade of IN is awarded, the student is required to submit the assignment within 10 days after the end of the final examination period of the term for the course. At the request of the Department Chair concerned, the student's Dean may grant an extension of time to a maximum of four months after the end of the term. If the assignment is not submitted by the prescribed deadline, the Registrar will record a grade of "NC" or a grade of "F" plus a remark of "1", as appropriate. Before finalizing the student's grade the Office of the Registrar and Student Awards will give 30 days written notice to the student's Faculty and to the Department in which the course is offered.

23.5.4 Notification of Results	
[NO CHANGE]	
23.5.5 Reexaminations	
[NO CHANGE]	
23.5.6 Deferred Final Exams	
[NO CHANGE]	

30

GFC Policy Manual §52 Examinations Tracked Changes March 1, 2012

Current Content	Proposed Changes
52. Examinations	
Note from the University Secretariat: The Post-Secondary Learning Act gives General Faculties Council (GFC) responsibility, subject to the authority of the Board of Governors, over "timetables for examinations and for lectures and other instruction" (section 26(1)(d)). GFC also has the responsibility to "consider and make decisions on the reports of faculty councils as to the appointment of examiners and the conduct and results of examinations in the faculties" (section 26(1)(e)). GFC has thus enacted a policy on Examinations, as set out below. The complete wording of the section(s) of the Post-Secondary Learning Act, as referred to above, and any other related sections, should be checked in any instance where formal jurisdiction or delegation needs to be determined.	Overview of new Assessment Policy. The Post-Secondary Learning Act of Alberta (PSLA) gives General Faculties Council (GFC) responsibility, subject to the authority of the Board of Governors, over "academic affairs" (section 26(1)). The PSLA also gives GFC responsibility, subject to the authority of the Board of Governors, over "timetables for examinations and for lectures and other instruction" (section 26(1)(d)) and to "consider and make decisions on the reports of faculty councils as to the appointment of examiners and the conduct and results of examinations in the faculties" (section 26(1)(e)). The PSLA gives faculty councils the authority to "appoint the examiners for examinations in the faculty, conduct the examinations and determine the results for them," " subject to any conditions or restrictions that are imposed by GFC" (section 29(1)).
NOTE FROM THE UNIVERSITY SECRETARIAT: GFC regulations concerning examinations are contained in the Calendar with the exception of the following regulations. In accord with a motion passed by the GFC Executive Committee on November 9, 1992, the academic regulations contained in the Calendar may not be changed without GFC approval.	
52.1 Deferred Final Examinations A deferred final examination may be granted at the Dean's discretion when a student has missed the final examination because of negligence (eg, misreading timetable).	
52.1.1 Final Examinations Where a final examination has been scheduled, students registered in the course may not be debarred from writing that final examination.	Moved to Calendar §23.5.3(3)
52.2 Authority for Determining Examination and Final Grades	Moved to Grading Procedure #3 3. Authority to Assign Grades
The determination of the final grades in a course shall be the initial responsibility of the instructor(s) or of the persons expressly authorized by the Department Chair (or the Dean in non departmentalized Faculties) to determine the final grade. The Faculty Council under	The <u>assignment</u> of final grades in a course will be the initial responsibility of the instructor(s). <u>Under the Post-Secondary Learning Act of Alberta, the Faculty Council under whose auspices a course is offered has the ultimate authority to determine the examination grades</u>

31

whose auspices a course is offered has the ultimate authority to determine the examination grades and final grades in courses.

and final grades in <u>a</u> course, <u>"subject to any conditions or restrictions imposed by GFC (section 29(1)).</u>

It is suggested that the larger Faculties delegate to Departments the authority over grades which is specified above, and that any such delegations of authority be filed with the Secretary to GFC.

Faculty Councils may delegate this final authority over grades to Deans or Chairs of Departments. Final grades must be approved by Faculty Council before they are submitted to the Office of the Registrar.

It is suggested to all Faculties that they determine, and make known within their Faculty, the following informal grievance route concerning problems which involve a course/grade: instructor of the course, Chair of the Department where the course is taught, Dean of the Faculty which offers the course. This informal process is meant to precede and to avoid formal appeal, but does not preclude formal appeal to the Faculty Council.

This informal grievance route is described in Calendar §23.4(3)

52.3 Release of Final Examination Answers

Moved to Grading Procedure #6

Student answers to final examination questions should be disposed of six months after the lapse of the request for reappraisal appeal deadline date. Students' final examinations, and any unreturned term work, shall be retained and then shredded six months after the deadline for reappraisal and grade appeals.

52.4 Recording of Results on Examination Returns

1. The term work and the final examination mark will no longer be required on the examination return. Only the final grade, the percentage weights of the component marks used in establishing the final grade, and other required remarks shall be recorded on the examination return.

Departments shall keep the class record books with the detailed record of the component marks for at least one year after the final examination.

- 2. In the case where a Department has been instructed to calculate a final grade and the student has missed the final examination, the instructor should compute and record the grade, but should enter the remark "1" in the Examiner's Recommendation column of the examination return to indicate that the student was absent from the final examination or to indicate that work was missing.
- 3. The grade of "incomplete" (IN) is normally awarded when an undergraduate student is prevented by illness, domestic affliction, or other extreme circumstances from submitting an assignment by the end of the term. When a grade of IN is awarded, the student is required to submit the assignment within 10 days after the end of the final examination period of the term for the course. At the request of the Department Chair concerned, the student's Dean may grant an extension of

Moved to Grading Procedure #6

Departments shall keep <u>this documentation</u> with the detailed record of the component marks for at least one year after the final examination.

Moved to Calendar §23.5.3(4)

Duplicate of Calendar §23.5.3(6)

time to a maximum of four months after the end of the term. If the assignment is not submitted by the prescribed deadline, the Registrar will record a grade of "F" or a grade of "F" plus a remark of "6", as appropriate. Before finalizing the student's grade, the Office of the Registrar and Student Awards will give 30 days written notice to the student's Faculty and to the Department in which the course is offered. Moved to Grading Procedure #6 Each instructor-should keep a record in the Class Each instructor will keep a record of either the raw scores or numerical grades achieved by students in each Record Book of either the raw scores or numerical grades achieved by students in each test, examination, or test, examination, or other assignment that will count other assignment that will count towards the final grade towards the final grade and of the percentage weight and of the percentage weight assigned to each. The assigned to each. The details contained in this details contained in the Class Record Book should documentation must enable the instructor (or the enable the instructor (or the Department on the Department on the instructor's behalf) to reconstruct the instructor's behalf) to reconstruct the student's final student's final grade where the necessity arises. grade where the necessity arises, as it may if the student applies to have a final examination reappraised, or if the student is reexamined or writes a deferred final examination. **NOTE FROM THE UNIVERSITY** SECRETARIAT: The remark "I" (above) has the following meaning: (EXEC 13 JAN 2003) 1 grade includes a mark of '0' for final examination missed, or for term work missed, or both. **52.5 Reappraisals** Fee payment processes for reappraisals are outlined in The fee for reappraisal shall not be paid to the instructor Calendar §22.2.8 conducting the reappraisal. 52.6 Examination Schedule 1. The GFC regulation that the Faculty Council Moved to Calendar §23.5.3(2) concerned shall be petitioned for permission to depart from the official Examination Schedule is interpreted to mean that such changes can be authorized by a Dean provided that the Faculty Council has delegated the responsibility to the Dean. 2. Department Chairs, after consultation with the Out of Date Dean of the Faculty, may authorize the setting of separate final examinations for off campus Credit Program classes when the regular final examinations are scheduled for days when the public schools are in session. Moved to Consolidated Final Examinations Procedure 52.7 Common (Consolidated) Final Examinations in 1. Common (Consolidated) Final Examinations in **Multi-Sectioned Courses Multi-Sectioned Courses** 52.7.1 General Regulations

1. Approval of Consolidated Examinations

Faculties will determine which courses best demonstrate the need for a consolidated final examination on a continuing basis. Approval must be given by the GFC Executive Committee.

2. Time of Consolidated Examinations

Consolidated $\overline{\text{Final}}$ Examinations will be scheduled as follows:

a. the day immediately following the official end of classes for the term will not be used to schedule consolidated final examinations;

b. other days, excluding Sundays and holidays, prior to the official start of final examinations may be used;

e. the Saturday during the official examination period if it is not used for normally scheduled examinations;

d. days after the official examination period providing they are prior to December 22nd in the Fall term and allow a minimum of 23 working days between the last day of Winter Term examinations and Spring convocation.

3. Coordination of Examinations

The examinations are coordinated by the Faculty in which the courses are taught. Faculties and Departments are responsible for providing instructional and support staff on exam day. There is no guarantee that gymnasium seating will be available.

4. The Examinations and Timetabling Division of the Registrar's Office will consult with Faculties regarding the dates and times of the consolidated examinations. Every attempt will be made to construct a conflict-free schedule. However, should a student have conflicting consolidated examinations scheduled, the Faculties involved will make an accommodation for the student. Courses for which consolidated examinations are given will be identified as such in the course listings and the times of writing presented on the web. (www.registrar.ualberta.ca)

The Office of the Registrar and Student Awards will keep a list of past and current consolidated examinations.

52.8 Past Evaluative Course Material (Examination Registry)

a. Approval of consolidated examinations

Faculties will determine which courses best demonstrate the need for a consolidated final examination on a continuing basis, and request approval of the GFC Executive Committee. Approval must be obtained from the GFC Executive Committee prior to scheduling or conducting a consolidated final examination.

b.. Time of consolidated examinations

Consolidated examinations will be scheduled as follows:

- The day immediately following the official end of classes for the term will not be used to schedule consolidated final examinations;
- <u>- O</u>ther days, excluding Sundays and holidays, prior to the official start of final examinations may be used;
- <u>- The Saturday during the official examination period</u> may be used if it is not used for normally scheduled examinations;
- <u>- D</u>ays after the official examination period <u>may be used</u> providing they are prior to December 23rd in the Fall <u>Term</u> and allow a minimum of 23 working days between the last day of Winter Term examinations and Spring convocation.

c. Coordination of examinations

The examinations are coordinated by the Faculty in which the courses are taught. Faculties and departments are responsible for providing instructional and support staff on exam day. There is no guarantee that gymnasium seating will be available.

- d. The Examinations and Timetabling division of the Registrar's Office will consult with Faculties regarding the dates and time of the consolidated examination. Every attempt will be made to construct a conflict-free schedule. However, should a student have conflicting consolidated examinations scheduled, the Faculties involved will make an accommodation for the student. Courses for which consolidated examinations are given will be identified as such in the course listings and the times of writing presented on the website of the Registrar's Office (www.registrar.ualberta.ca).
- <u>e.</u> The Office of the Registrar will keep a list of courses that have used, or currently use, consolidated examinations.

Moved to Access to Evaluative Course Material Procedure

Note from the University Governance: At the February 15, 2007 meeting of the GFC Academic Standards Committee, changes to Section 52.8 (Past Evaluative Course Material) were approved. These changes will take effect September 1, 2008. Please contact University Governance for further information.

52.8.1 Definitions

Evaluative Course Material

For the purposes of this policy, evaluative course material shall be considered to be any final examination paper, any mid term examination paper and any other examination paper which counts towards a student's course grade.

Final Examinations

Any examinations held during the Final Examination Period in each term of all sessions as described in the University Calendar.

Representative Evaluative Course Material For the purposes of this policy, representative evaluative course material shall be sample examination papers or questions that give a fair representation of the length, nature and level of difficulty of an actual examination in the course.

52.8.2 Rationale

A policy of providing access to past or representative evaluative course material shall be adopted in order to:

1. ensure equal access to past evaluative material by all students; and,

2. provide students with a useful study tool.

52.8.3 Evaluative Material to be Provided (See definition of evaluative material above.)

Access must be provided to <u>any</u> evaluative course material, listed below, used in any undergraduate course during any University session, with the exception of term paper or other paper topics:

final examinations;

Not necessary; the term is defined in the course of the procedure.

Moved to Access to Evaluative Course Material Procedure Purpose

<u>To define University-wide procedures with respect to access</u> to past or representative evaluative material.

Moved below.

1. Evaluative Course Material

a. The provision of student access to past or representative evaluative course material in undergraduate courses as outlined herein is intended to (a) ensure equal access to such material by all students and (b) provide students with a potentially useful aid to their studying.

Access must be provided to past or evaluative course material, listed below, used in any undergraduate course during any University term:

- Final examinations;

- 2. any evaluative course material which accounts for 30% or greater of a student's course grade;
- 3. past evaluative course material, that is made available by a Faculty, Department or professor to students directly or via students' associations;
- 4. past evaluative course material that is permitted to leave the classroom:-or
- 5. representative evaluative course material where actual examinations are not provided.

52.8.4 Access Requirements

Evaluative material shall be made available in at least one of the following ways:

- 4. By submission to the Students' Union Exam Registry by a representative of a teaching unit, such as a Department or, in the case of non-departmentalized Faculties, the Faculty.
- 2. By electronic publication on a website maintained by an instructor, a Department or a Faculty where every student registered in a course will have equal access to the material.

52.8.5 Exemptions

Particular courses or entire departments can be exempted from this policy at the discretion of each Faculty Council, provided that the Faculty has a policy which ensures that the evaluative material to be exempted is not permitted to leave the classroom nor made available to students in any manner outside the classroom.

Faculty Council shall refer these exemptions, together with the minutes of that meeting, back to the Director of the Students' Union Registries for information.

Where a Faculty Council has approved the withholding of evaluative material, it is encouraged to provide access to representative evaluative course material as provided in s.52.8.4.

52.8.6 Other Provisions

No keys, or answers to evaluative material, shall be submitted to the Students' Union Exam Registry, or published in any electronic format unless the instructor has made these keys or answers available to students. In that case the keys or answers shall be submitted to the Registry or published electronically along with the exam

- <u>- Evaluative course material that accounts for 30% or greater of a student's course grade;</u>
- <u>- Past</u> evaluative course material that is made available by <u>the</u> Faculty, <u>department</u> or professor to students directly or via students' associations;
- <u>- P</u>ast evaluative course material that is permitted to leave the classroom;
- <u>- Representative evaluative course material where actual examinations are not provided.</u>

<u>b.</u> Access <u>r</u>equirements

Evaluative material <u>will</u> be made available in at least one of the following ways:

- <u>-</u> By submission to the Students' Union Exam Registry by a representative of <u>the</u> teaching unit, such as a <u>department</u> or, in the case of non-departmentalized Faculties, the Faculty.
- <u>-</u> By electronic publication on a website maintained by an instructor, a <u>department</u> or a Faculty where every student registered in a course will have equal access to the material.
- -Answer keys are not required to be made available. No keys or answers to evaluative material will be made available unless the instructor has made these keys or answers available to students.
- -Evaluative course material submitted by students or student associations must be approved by the Faculty, Department or instructor.
- -For the purposes of administering the Exam Registry, the Registrar's Office shall provide a list of all course changes to the Students' Union Exam Registry.

c. Exemptions

Particular courses or entire departments can be exempted from this policy at the discretion of each Faculty Council, provided that the Faculty has a policy that ensures that the evaluative material to be exempted is not permitted to leave the classroom nor made available to students in any manner outside the classroom.

Faculty Councils shall refer these exemptions, together with the minutes of that meeting, to the <u>Students' Union</u> for information.

Where a Faculty Council has approved the withholding of evaluative material, it is encouraged to provide access to representative course material, where possible.

Moved to b. above.

they belong to according to the procedures described above. The Students' Union Exam Registry shall receive a list Moved to b. above. of all course changes from the Registrar's Office. The Students' Union Exam Registry shall only accept Moved to b. above. evaluative course material from students or students' associations, if access to the evaluative course material has been approved by the Faculty, Department or instructor. The Students' Union Exam Registry shall be operated on Deleted as policy for the SU, not the University. The a non-profit basis. In order to ensure that the exams Students' Union will consider its own bylaws in this provided by the Students' Union Exam Registry are area. representative of current exams, the Students' Union Exam Registry shall implement a policy of purging all but the three most recent exams of each type for each particular course and instructor from its files on an annual basis. This policy shall be reviewed by APC three years after its implementation and amended as deemed appropriate. 52.9 Location Examinations shall be conducted only in centers within Statement no longer accurate. the Province of Alberta except with the permission of the Registrar.

GFC Policy Manual §61 Grading System Tracked Changes April 20, 2011

April 20, 2011

61. Grading System

Note from the University Secretariat: The Post-Secondary Learning Act gives General Faculties Council (GFC) responsibility, subject to the authority of the Board of Governors, over "academic affairs" (section 26(1)). GFC has thus established the Grading System, as set out below.

Current Content

The complete wording of the section(s) of the *Post-Secondary Learning Act*, as referred to above, and any other related sections, should be checked in any instance where formal jurisdiction or delegation needs to be determined.

NOTE FROM THE UNIVERSITY SECRETARIAT:

GFC regulations concerning the grading system are contained in the Calendar with the exception of the following regulations. In accord with a motion passed by the GFC Executive Committee on November 9, 1992, the academic regulations contained in the Calendar may not be changed without GFC approval.

61.1 The Four-Point Letter Grading System (Also See Pass/Fail, 61.2)

Purpose of the System

During the 1965 66 Session, the General Faculties Council agreed that in September, 1966, a nine point grading system would be introduced. The main purpose of the new system was to achieve a more uniform distribution of marks than had existed in the past between different courses and between different sections of the same course, so that there would be a reasonable degree of comparability between the marks in the courses. A number of changes to the grading system have been made since 1966. For instance, the grade of 3, which until 1986 will be a conditional grade falling between a pass and a failure, will be a failing grade beginning September 1986. GFC policy in effect from 1966 1985 covering the grade of 3 as a conditional grade may be obtained from the Secretary to GFC.

At its meeting on March 15, 1999, General Faculties Council approved the following motion:THAT General Faculties Council approve a proposal from the CAAST [Committee on Admissions, Academic Standing and Transfer] Subcommittee on Standards (SOS) for revised comments for the University of Alberta Grading system, described in Section 61.1 (The Nine Point Grading System), Subsection A (Grading System Outline for

Proposed Changes Grading Procedure

Overview of new Assessment Policy The *Post-Secondary Learning Act* of Alberta (*PSLA*) gives General Faculties Council (GFC) responsibility, subject to the authority of the Board of Governors, over "academic affairs" (section 26(1)). The *PSLA* also gives GFC responsibility, subject to the authority of the Board of Governors, over "timetables for examinations and for lectures and other instruction" (section 26(1)(d)) and to "consider and make decisions on the reports of faculty councils as to the appointment of examiners and the conduct and results of examinations in the faculties' (section 26(1)(e)). The PSLA gives faculty councils the authority to "appoint the examiners for examinations in the faculty, conduct the examinations and determine the results for them," "... subject to any conditions or restrictions that are imposed by GFC" (section 29(1)).

Historical

38

Undergraduate Students) and Subsection B (Grading System for Graduate Students), of the GFC Policy Manual, as set out in the right hand column of the attached comparative table, with the changes to take effect immediately.

At its meeting of March 19, 2001, General Faculties Council approved the proposed 4.0/alpha grading scale with the inclusion of an F(4) to designate reexamination privileges, for implementation at the University of Alberta on September 1, 2003.

The changes have been made as shown below:

A. Course Grades Obtained by Undergraduate Students:

The University of Alberta Grading system is found in the University Calendar §23.4(4).

A. Course Grades Obtained by Undergraduate Students:		
-Descriptor	Letter Grade	Grade Point Value
Excellent	A+	4.0
	A	4.0
	A	3.7
	_	
Good	B+	3.3
	B	3.0
	B -	2.7
	<u> </u>	
Satisfactory	C+ C	2.3
	C	2.0
	C	1.7
	<u> </u>	
Poor	D+	1.3
Minimal Pass	Ð	1.0
	-	
Failure	For F(4)*	0.0
		İ

*Note: F(4) denotes eligibility of a student to apply for a re examination in a course.

B. Course Grades Obtained by Graduate Students:		
-Descriptor	Letter Grade	Grade Point Value
Excellent	A+	4.0
	A	4.0
	A	3.7
	-	
Good	B+	3.3
	B	3.0
	<u> </u>	
Satisfactory	-B-	2.7
	C+	2.3
	<u> </u>	
Failure	E	2.0

B. Course Grades Obtained by Graduate Students:		
-Descriptor	Letter Grade	Grade Point Value
	C	1.7
	D+	1.3
	Ð	1.0
	F	0.0
	-	
-	- -	-

C. In addition to the grades described above, the University of Alberta currently records the following grades and remarks:

Final Grades

AE aegrotat standing

AU registered as an auditor

AW registered as an auditor and withdrew

CR completed requirements, no grade point value assigned

EX exempt

NC failure, no grade point value assigned

IN incomplete

IP course in progress

IP* withdrew from or failed course in progress

W withdrew with permission

Remarks

-

- 1 grade includes a mark of 'O' for final examination missed, or for term work missed, or both
- 2 grade includes a mark of '0' for final examination missed, or for term work missed, or both, and deferred final examination granted
- 3 credit withheld
- 4 reexamination granted
- 5 failure (assigned to failing Graduate Student grades)
 6 failure, grade includes a mark of '0' for final
 examination missed, or for term work missed, or both
 (assigned to failing Graduate Student grades only)
 7 failure, grade includes a mark of '0' for final
 examination missed, or for term work missed, or both,
 and deferred final examination granted (assigned to
 failing Graduate Student grades only)
- 8 Disciplinary sanction for serious instances of Inappropriate Academic Behavior
- 9 failure, disciplinary sanction for serious instances of inappropriate academic behavior (assigned in the same circumstances as the remark of 8 but to failing Graduate Student grades only)

61.2 Pass/Fail and Credit/No Credit Courses

Courses may be graded on a pass/fail or credit/no credit basis upon specific approval of the appropriate Faculty Council.

Move to Calendar §23.4(6)

40

Grade Point Averages for Promotion and Graduation see Section 7.

Grades in Prerequisite and Corequisite Courses - see Section 37.

GFC approval of 1982 83 Calendar see Section 25.

61.3 University of Alberta Marking and Grading Guidelines

General Principles

Both the insight provided by marking and the grades awarded are very important to students. Therefore, not only must grading provide a fair and accurate representation of performance, but it must also be understood to do so by the students receiving the grades. Grading systems should be made clear and marking should provide sufficient insight into the strengths and weaknesses of individual pieces of work to ensure that students understand the appropriateness of grades assigned. Students should feel that the instructor cares enough about them to be thorough and accurate in marking and recording at every stage of the evaluation process and that the instructor will be approachable if they have reason to believe that an error has been made. Consistency in evaluation across sections of the same course and from year to year should be maintained. Marks and grades must be treated with care and confidentiality. Posting of grades must be done by student ID rather than by name. Grades should not be posted in hardcopy format for classes with 25 or fewer students.

Weighting of Term Work and Final Examinations

In each course in which a final examination is held, a weight of not less than 30 per cent and not more than 70 per cent will be assigned to the final examination, except where a departure from this arrangement has been authorized by the council of the faculty in which the department offering the course is situated. The remaining weight for the course will be assigned to term work.

Course Requirements, Evaluation Procedures and Grading Parts are presented in the University Calendar (23.4).

The policies set out below are intended to provide instructors and their students with general course information. GFC, in approving these guidelines, expected that there would be a common sense approach to their application and understood that circumstances might develop, during a term, where a change to the course outline as set out in Section 61.6(a) of the GFC Policy Manual, made sense to all concerned. Such

Replaced with the principles included in the Assessment Policy.

Duplicate of Calendar §23.4(1)

Duplicate of Calendar §23.4(2)

changes shall only occur with fair warning or general class content.

Students concerned about the application of these guidelines should consult, in turn, the instructor, the chair of the department by which the course is offered, and the dean of the faculty in which the course is offered.

a. At the beginning of each course, instructors are required by GFC to provide a course outline which must include the following:

i. a statement of the course objectives and general content.

ii. a list of the required textbooks and other major course materials.

iii. a list of any other course fees as described in the 'Student Instructional Support Fees Policy' and their associated costs. (EXEC 04 DEC 2006)

iv. an indication of how and when students have access to the instructor.

v. the distribution of weight between term work and final examination.

vi. identification of all course activities worth 10% or more of the overall course mark. vii. whether marks are given for class participation and other in class activities as well as the weight of such participation. viii. dates of any examination and course assignments with a weight of 10% or more of the overall course mark.

ix. the manner in which the official University grading system is to be implemented in that particular course or section, i.e., whether a particular distribution is to be used to determine grades, or whether there are absolute measures or marks which will determine them, or whether a combination of the two will be used. Instructors should refer to the University of Alberta Marking and Grading Guidelines.

x. an indication of how students will be given access to past or representative evaluative course material.

b. Every course outline should contain the following statement: "Policy about course outlines can be found in Section 23.4(2) of the University Calendar."

c. Every course outline should contain the following statement:

Note: It appears as though the remainder of this section as been tacked on to the end of the current §61 of the GFC Policy Manual, in error?

The University of Alberta Grading System

The University of Alberta uses a letter grading system

Duplicate of Calendar § 23.4(4)

with a four point scale of numerical equivalents for ealculating grade point averages.

Grades reflect judgements of student achievement made by instructors. These judgements are based on a combination of absolute achievement and relative performance in a class. Some instructors assign grades as intervals during the course and others assign marks (eg percentages) throughout the term and then assign a letter grade at the end. Instructors must adapt their approaches to reflect the letter grading system. Grade distribution should reflect those shown in this document.

Grading in Undergraduate Courses				
-Descriptor	Descriptor Letter Grade Grade Point Value			
Excellent	A+	4.0		
	A	4.0		
	A	3.7		
	_			
Good	B+	3.3		
	B	3.0		
	B	2.7		
	-			
Satisfactory	C+ C	2.3		
	C	2.0		
	C	1.7		
	-			
Poor	D+	1.3		
Minimal Pass	Ð	1.0		
	-			
Failure	F[or F(4)]*	0.0		
	-			
	-			
_		-		

Duplicate of Calendar § 23.4(4)

*NOTE: F(4) denotes eligibility of a student to apply	Duplicate from Calendar §23.4(4)
for a reexamination in a course.	

Grading in Graduate Courses			
-Descriptor	Grade Point Value		
Excellent	A+	4.0	
	-A	4.0	
	A -	3.7	
	-		
Good	B +	3.3	
	B	3.0	
	_		
Satisfactory	<u>B</u>	2.7	
	C+	2.3	
	-		
Failure	C	2.0	
	C	1.7	

Grading in Graduate Courses		
-Descriptor	Letter Grade	Grade Point Value
	D+	1.3
	Ð	1.0
	F	0.0
-		
-		-

Illustrative Sample Distribution of Grades

These distributions are provided for guidance in your grading. It is not necessary for the grades in a particular class to follow any of the distributions exactly; rather, approximating the distribution for an appropriate level will ensure consistency in grading across fields of study and time.

Distribution samples to be revised and moved to Appendix to Grading Procedure.

This section reworded as introduction to Appendix.


Distribution of Grades in Undergraduate Courses (shown in percentages)				
-Letter Grade	1st year Courses	2nd year Courses	3rd year Courses	4th year Courses
A+	4	5	6	8
A	7	7	9	12
A-	10	12	14	17
B+	11	15	16	16
₽	15	16	18	16
B -	14	14	14	12
C+	11	11	9	7
E	9	8	6	5
C	6	5	4	3
D+	4	3	2	2
Ð	3	2	1	1
F	6	2	1	1
Mean	2.62	2.83	3.00	3.11
Median	B-	₽	₽	B+

Note: The above noted (revised) grade distribution in undergraduate courses was approved by the GFC Executive Committee, acting under GFC's delegated authority, at its March 1, 2004 meeting. This scale, which appears in Section 61.6 (University of Alberta Marking and Grading Guidelines) of the GFC Policy Manual, is effective beginning Winter Term (WT) 2004.

Historical

Distribution of Grades in Graduate Courses (shown in percentages)			
Letter Grade 500 level 600 level			
A+ 10 15			
A 14 15			
16 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 			

Distribution of Grades in Graduate Courses (shown in percentages)		
Letter Grade	500 level	600 level
B+	17	17
B	16	16
B-	14	10
B- C+ C C- D+	8	7
C	2	2
C	1	1
D+	0	0
Ð	1	1
F	1	1
Mean	3.2	3.3
Median	B+ -	B+



Procedures for Registering Complaints About Marking,
Grading and Related Issues

a. Where the above guidelines have not been followed or where students have concerns about the instructor's teaching, the student should make the concern known to the appropriate individual in the following sequence:

1) Instructor

2) Chair of the department in which the course is taught (some faculties have delegated this authority to departments)

b. A student needing advice on these matters should see

the student advisors in the Office of the Dean of Students.

c. These procedures do not constitute a mechanism for appeals and grievances regarding the academic standing or individual grades of a student. Appeals and grievances of that nature are dealt with in §23.8 of the Calendar.

Cheating and Plagiarism

The University of Alberta considers plagiarism and cheating to be serious academic offences. Plagiarism and cheating can be avoided if students are told what plagiarism and cheating are and if possible sanctions are made clear at the outset. Instructors should understand that the principles embodied in the Code of Student Behaviour are essential to our academic purpose. For this reason, instructors will be fully supported by departments, faculties and the University in their endeavours to rightfully discover and pursue cases of academic dishonesty in accordance with the Code.

At the beginning of each term, instructors should review with their students the definitions of plagiarism and cheating which appear in the Code of Student Behaviour (Section 30.3.2). A sheet summarizing the appropriate sections of the Code is made available to all instructors at the beginning of each term.

Instructors are also requested to inform students that when cheating and/or plagiarism occurs, a number of penalties can be imposed, such as lowering a grade or expulsion from the University (outlined in Section 30.4.2 of the Code).

University of Alberta Grade Summary Sheet GFC policy requires that instructors complete and submit to the department chair with the final grades for each course section the grade summary sheet.

"The University of Alberta is committed to the highest standards of academic integrity and honesty. Students are expected to be familiar with these standards regarding academic honesty and to uphold the policies of the University in this respect. Students are particularly urged to familiarize themselves with the provisions of the Code of Student Behaviour (online at www.ualberta.ca/secretariat/appeals.htm) and avoid any behaviour which could potentially result in suspicions of cheating, plagiarism, misrepresentation of facts and/or participation in an offence. Academic dishonesty is a serious offence and can result in suspension or expulsion from the University."

d. Nothing in any course outline, syllabus or course website may override or contravene any Calendar regulation

Moved to 23.4(2)d.

This bolded section should be the introduction to the grade summary sheet example, above.

Duplicate of Calendar§23.4(2) (This is the remainder of §61.3 (above)

or GFC policy. In resolving any discrepancy, GFC policy and Calendar regulations will take precedence. e. Instructors may indicate in the course outline the date, time and place on which the deferred examination for the course will occur, should one be required. See §23.5.6, f. Instructors should discuss with the class their expectations with respect to academic honesty issues and outline both permitted and prohibited behaviour. g. Instructors must follow copyright regulations as established by the University from time to time in the duplication of course material. h. Instructors should allow students a reasonable time in which to complete an assignment, bearing in mind its weight. i. Instructors should mark and return to students with reasonable dispatch all term examinations and, provided the students submit them by the due date, all course projects, assignments, essays, etc.

j. All projects, assignments, essays, etc. should be returned on or by the last day of classes in the course, with the exception of a final major assignment (which may be due on the last day of classes), which should be returned by the date of the scheduled final examination or, in non examination courses, by the last day of the examination period.

k. Upon request, instructors are required to provide the method which was used to translate final and, where appropriate, term marks into grades.